

# Evaluation of the effects of low tidal volume ventilation in patients undergoing urological procedures in lateral position under general anaesthesia – A randomized controlled trial

Alka Lakra<sup>1\*</sup>, Sona Dave<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Speciality Medical Officer, Department of Anaesthesiology, Speciality Medical officer, V N Desai Municipal General, Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, INDIA.

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Topiwala National Medical College & BYL Nair Ch. Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: [alkalakra.dr@gmail.com](mailto:alkalakra.dr@gmail.com)

## Abstract

**Background:** Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPC) following general anaesthesia depends mainly on intraoperative ventilatory strategies. Recent evidences suggest that low tidal volume ventilation may reduce PPC. We compared the postoperative lung functions in patients ventilated with low versus high tidal volumes in lateral decubitus position. **Material and Methods:** After approval from our Institutional Ethical Committee, 30 American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status I and II patients were recruited. Patients of either sex in the age group of 18–70 years, undergoing elective open urological surgeries, in lateral position under general anaesthesia were included in this prospective study. Patients were randomized into two groups; L and H. Group L patients were ventilated with 5-7 ml/kg tidal volume, positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O and recruitment manoeuvre (RM) whereas group H patients were ventilated with 10-12 ml/kg tidal volume, zero PEEP and no recruitment manoeuvre. Pulmonary functions were measured pre-operatively and 12 hours after extubation. Continuous variables were analysed with the unpaired t-test and ANOVA.  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant. **Results:** Final analysis was performed on 24 patients. FVC and FEV1/FVC were significantly higher in group L as compared to group H after 12 hours of extubation. ( $P < 0.001$ ) **Conclusion:** A lung-protective strategy using low tidal volume ventilation (with 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O PEEP and RM) helped to improve lung functions 12 hours after extubation as compared to conventional high tidal volume ventilation (with zero PEEP and no RM). The overall perioperative follow up did not show any other significant differences between the two groups.

**Key Words:** Pulmonary functions, Lateral position, Tidal volume, urological procedure

## \*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Alka Lakra, Speciality Medical Officer, Department of Anaesthesiology, Speciality Medical Officer, V N Desai Municipal General, Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: [alkalakra.dr@gmail.com](mailto:alkalakra.dr@gmail.com)

Received Date: 26/04/2020 Revised Date: 02/06/2020 Accepted Date: 09/07/2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26611/10151532>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). 

## Access this article online

Quick Response Code:	Website: <a href="http://www.medpulse.in">www.medpulse.in</a>
	Accessed Date: 06 September 2020

## INTRODUCTION

Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPC) can occur following general anaesthesia (GA) as it alters many aspects of normal lung physiology. A wide spectrum of PPC has been reported in the literature like atelectasis, pneumonia, bronchospasm, respiratory failure with prolonged ventilation, acute lung injury including aspiration pneumonitis, acute respiratory distress syndrome etc.<sup>1</sup> Intraoperative mechanical ventilation(MV) in patients under GA plays an important role in the development of PPC.<sup>2</sup> Implementation of protective

ventilation strategies like optimal tidal volume ( $V_T$ ), positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) and recruitment manoeuvre (RM) would reduce the incidence of PPC. The optimal settings for intraoperative MV and the role of PEEP are still debatable issues. High  $V_T$  ( $TV > 10$  ml/kg) is reported as a risk factor for prolonged MV, hemodynamic instability, higher incidence of renal failure and prolonged stay in the intensive care unit.<sup>3</sup> On the contrary, use of low  $V_T$  in the intraoperative period has shown to decrease pneumonia and the need for postoperative ventilatory support in patients without acute lung injury.<sup>4</sup> The beneficial effects of low  $V_T$  during short term ventilation have been described in the literature. But most of the studies have discussed these effects of ventilation in the supine position.<sup>5</sup> Change of position (supine to lateral or prone) can alter the distribution of pulmonary ventilation and perfusion.<sup>[6]</sup> In anaesthetised and paralysed patient, the lateral position leads to a decrease in functional residual capacity (FRC), changes in compliance and distribution of ventilation. Hence, this study was conceived to evaluate the effects of low  $V_T$  on lung functions in patients undergoing open urological procedures under GA in lateral position.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at a tertiary care centre from November 2017 to October 2018 over a period of one year. Prior ethical permission was taken from the institutional ethical committee (No. ECARP/2017/65, dated 27.04.2017). This prospective, randomized open labelled comparative study included 30 American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I and II patients, aged 18–70 years of either sex who had undergone elective open urological surgeries under GA in lateral position. Patients with cardiac diseases, ASA grade III or above, pregnant patients, body mass index  $> 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, duration of surgery  $< 2$  hours and those who did not cooperate or refused to participate in the study were excluded.

After a thorough pre-anaesthetic check-up, patients satisfying the inclusion criteria were selected. Patients were explained about the study protocol and written informed consent was taken from each of the 30 enrolled patients for participation in the study. Demographic data like age, weight, height and body mass index (BMI) were recorded. Preoperative chest x-ray and spirometry was done in all patients and forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume during the first second (FEV1) and FEV1/FVC ratio was noted. Patients were randomized on the day of surgery into one of the two groups L (i.e. low tidal volume) or H (i.e. high tidal volume) with 15 patients in each by using a computer-generated random number table. In the operation room, the standard ASA monitors were attached and baseline readings were noted. Two

peripheral intravenous lines were secured and intravenous ringer lactate was started. Intravenous premedication glycopyrrolate (4 $\mu$ g/kg) and midazolam (0.05mg/kg) were administered. An epidural catheter was inserted before the induction of GA at the L2–L3 level for perioperative analgesia. All patients were pre oxygenated for 3 minutes with 100% O<sub>2</sub>. GA was induced with intravenous fentanyl (2 $\mu$ g/kg), propofol (2 mg/kg) and atracurium (0.5 mg/kg). Patient's airway was secured with an appropriate size endotracheal tube after direct laryngoscopy. Anaesthesia was maintained with oxygen, air, the titrated dose of sevoflurane (up to MAC 2) and intermittent doses of muscle relaxant. Maintenance fluid was given at the rate of 5–6 ml/kg/hr. Patients were mechanically ventilated to maintain end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO<sub>2</sub>) at 30–40 mm Hg using 2 lit/min flow in the closed circuit. The patient was turned to one side: right or left according to the planned side of surgery and the kidney bridge was raised slowly over five minutes with continuous haemodynamic monitoring. All patients received volume-controlled MV with a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) 0.4 and the I:E ratio of 1:2. The respiratory rate (RR) was adjusted to keep normocapnia. In group L, TV was set at 5–7 ml/kg of predicted body weight (PBW) with PEEP of 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O while in group H, TV was at 10–12ml/kg of PBW and PEEP was zero. In group L, RM was performed directly after induction of anaesthesia, and before extubation. RM was performed by raising the limit of peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) to 45 cm of H<sub>2</sub>O, TV at 5–7 ml/kg PBW, and RR at 6 breaths/min, PEEP at 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O, and the I: E ratio at 2:1; then the TV was increased in steps of 4 ml/kg PBW until plateau pressure reached 30 cm H<sub>2</sub>O and three breaths were allowed. Finally, the RR, the I: E ratio, inspiratory pause, and TV were set back to values preceding the RM, whereas the PEEP was maintained at 10 cm of H<sub>2</sub>O. RM was used only in group L, whereas normal ventilation with high  $V_T$  was continued in group H. Intraoperatively, vital signs, core temperature, ventilator settings, FiO<sub>2</sub>, EtCO<sub>2</sub>, and airway pressures were recorded at 15 min intervals throughout the surgery. Postoperatively, patients were asked to rate their pain at rest in the supine position with 30° head end elevation on a numeric rating scale (NRS) score of 0–10 (0: no pain; 10: worst pain imaginable). If NRS score was  $> 4$ , analgesia was optimized before performing spirometry. We injected 5 ml of 0.125% bupivacaine with 50 mcg fentanyl through the epidural catheter and pain score was reassessed. Measurement of pulmonary function was performed using the spirometer: before induction of anaesthesia and 12 hours after extubation. Patients received detailed instructions about how to do the tests. All measurements were taken in the supine position with 30° head end elevation. A clip was placed over the nose and the patient

breathed through the mouth into a tube connected to the spirometer. First, the patient took a deep breath and then exhaled as quickly and forcefully as possible into the tube. This was done three times and the best of the three results were recorded as the measure of lung function and selected for analysis. Preoperative and postoperative chest radiographs were taken as a part of routine perioperative surgical management. Findings were scored by a radiologist unaware of group assignment using a radiological atelectasis score as follows: 0= clear lung field, 1=plate like atelectasis or slight infiltration, 2= partial atelectasis, 3=lobar atelectasis, 4=bilateral lobar atelectasis. Patient domain score (PDS) was calculated using the following four postoperative parameters: cough, temperature (38 Degree C), NRS score and atelectasis Any parameter if found present was given a score of 1. The minimum and maximum scores obtained was 1 and 4 respectively. Accordingly, the total score was used to classify the patients of the two study groups into favourable and unfavourable. Patients with a score of > 2 were classified as unfavourable and a score of <2 were classified as favourable. The sample size was calculated based on a previous study about change in pulmonary function test results with the change in  $V_T$ . [7] Considering 80.0% statistical (type II error = 0.20) and 5% type I error probability ( $\alpha=0.05$ ), the required sample size was 12 in each group. Owing to potential drop-outs, 30 patients were included in our study. Data were statistically described in terms of (mean  $\pm$  SD) and percentages wherever appropriate. Continuous variables were analysed with the unpaired t-test and ANOVA. Categorical variables were analysed with the Chi-Square Test and Fisher Exact Test. Statistical significance was taken as  $P < 0.05$ . All statistical

calculations were done using computer programs Microsoft Excel 2019 (Microsoft Corporation, NY, USA) and SPSS version 24 (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## RESULTS

We recruited 30 patients and 6 patients (3 patients from each group) were excluded from the final analysis because of violation of study protocol. Thus, final data analysis was performed on 24 patients. Both the groups were comparable in terms of demographic profiles (age, sex, weight, ASA physical status, BMI and operative procedures). The duration of surgery was also comparable as most of the surgeries were done by the same surgical team. The baseline pre-induction vitals in both groups were comparable. [Table 1] There was no significant difference in intra-operative data between the two groups except for  $V_T$ , EtCO<sub>2</sub> and PEEP. [Table 2] The effects of RM on HR and BP are depicted in Table 3. The HR was increased and the SBP and DBP were decreased significantly 30 minutes after RM. Pre-operative pulmonary functions such as FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC showed no significant difference between groups. We found a statistically significant difference between the two groups regarding the postoperative FEV<sub>1</sub> and the FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC after 12 hours. [Table 4] In the postoperative follow-up, there were no significant differences between the two groups regarding the incidence of cough, fever, pain and atelectasis on chest x-ray. [Table 5] According to PDS, 91.7% of patients were with favourable outcome in group L whereas 58.3% in group H. No statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups.

**Table 1: Demographic Profile**

Parameters	Group H	Group L	P value
Age (year)	38.83 $\pm$ 11.2	36 $\pm$ 10.8	0.79
Sex(M/F)	8/4(66.7/33.3)	6/6(50/50)	0.4
ASA(I/II)	6/6(50/50)	7/5(58.3/41.7)	0.68
Weight (kg)	57.5 $\pm$ 6.5	56.03 $\pm$ 5.8	0.565
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.31 $\pm$ 1.92	24.02 $\pm$ 1.84	0.5526
<b>Operative Procedures</b>			
Left Nephrectomy	3(25%)	2(16.7%)	-
Left Plyoplasty	1(8.3%)	1(8.3%)	
Right Nephrectomy	6(50%)	7(58.3%)	
Right Plyoplasty	2(16.7%)	2(16.7%)	
<b>Pre-induction vitals:</b>			
<b>HR</b>	93 $\pm$ 1.4	93.5 $\pm$ 0.70	0.284
<b>MAP</b>	93.82 $\pm$ 7.55	94.02 $\pm$ 7.20	0.948
<b>SPO<sub>2</sub></b>	99.74 $\pm$ 0.49	99.55 $\pm$ 0.63	0.418
<b>RR</b>	15.02 $\pm$ 7.20	16.09 $\pm$ 1.53	0.620

**Table 2: Intraoperative parameters**

Parameters	Group H	Group L	P-value
<b>V<sub>T</sub> (ml)</b>	600 ± 141.4	325 ± 35.35	0.000
<b>RR</b>	12 ± 2.82	12.5 ± 2.12	0.628
<b>PIP</b>	20.5 ± 4.12	19 ± 1.65	0.254
<b>EtCO<sub>2</sub></b>	28.5 ± 1.41	33 ± 2.12	0.000
<b>Fluids (ml/kg/h)</b>	7.5 ± 1.53	7.0 ± 0.65	0.309
<b>Urine output (ml/kg/h)</b>	4 ± 2.12	4.5 ± 1.72	0.532
<b>Duration of Surgery</b>	128 ± 6.58	130 ± 4.32	0.388

V<sub>T</sub> = Tidal Volume, RR = respiratory rate, PIP = peak inspiratory pressure, EtCO<sub>2</sub> = end tidal carbon dioxide, Group H = high tidal volume, Group L = low tidal volume.

**Table 3: Effect of Recruitment manoeuvre in 'group L' on hemodynamic parameters**

Parameters	Time			P-value
	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>30</sub>	
<b>HR</b>	84 ± 2.83	88 ± 0.70	92.5 ± 2.12	<0.001
<b>SBP</b>	131 ± 1.41	130.5 ± 0.70	117 ± 9.89	0.032
<b>DBP</b>	87.5 ± 6.36	88 ± 2.82	82.5 ± 2.12	<0.001

T<sub>0</sub> = just before recruitment manoeuvre, T<sub>1</sub> = one minute after recruitment manoeuvre, T<sub>30</sub> = 30 minutes after recruitment manoeuvre, HR = heart rate, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure.

**Table 4: Preoperative and post-operative Pulmonary Functions**

PFT	Group H	Group L	P-value
<b>Pre-operative</b>			
FEV1	87.5 ± 4.96	86.5 ± 2.96	0.555
FVC	94.8 ± 3.18	96.6 ± 3.28	0.179
FEV1/FVC	91.7 ± 5.32	89.2 ± 4.37	0.222
<b>Post-operative</b>			
FEV1	82.1 ± 5.63	86.5 ± 2.57	0.024
FVC	95 ± 2.37	94.7 ± 3.1	0.827
FEV1/FVC	87.7 ± 5.95	90.7 ± 3.86	0.023

FVC = forced vital capacity, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume during first second, PFT = pulmonary function test, Group H = high tidal volume, Group L = low tidal volume.

**Table 5: Postoperative complications**

Parameters	Group H n(%)	Group L n(%)	P-value
<b>Cough</b>	5(41.7)	4(33.3)	1
<b>Atelectasis</b>	4(33.3)	1(8.3)	0.315
<b>Fever(&gt;38°C)</b>	6(33.3)	3(25)	0.399
<b>NRS (&gt;4)</b>	8(58.3)	6(50)	0.679
<b>Patient Domain Score (PDS)</b>			
<b>Favourable</b>	7(58.3)	11(91.7)	0.157
<b>Unfavourable</b>	5(41.7)	1(8.3)	

Group H = high tidal volume, Group L = low tidal volume.

## DISCUSSION

The result of the present randomized controlled trial suggests that a lung-protective strategy using low V<sub>T</sub> with 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O PEEP and RM improved lung functions as compared to the conventional mechanical ventilation technique using high V<sub>T</sub> without PEEP and RM in the first postoperative 12 hours. We did not observe any significant differences between the two groups in overall post-operative follow up. Postoperative pulmonary complications are more common in upper abdominal

surgery, thoracic surgery, and urologic surgeries especially when the patient is turned to lateral position.<sup>8</sup> We used a lung-protective strategy during intraoperative MV, which involved the use of low VT to minimize mechanical stress, high PEEP to prevent atelectasis and RM to promote re-expansion of atelectasis. In our study, we investigated major postoperative complications with relevant clinical parameters associated with alterations in the pulmonary function. We observed a significant difference in postoperative FEV1 and FEV1/FVC between the groups.



Asida *et al.* also observed a significant difference in the pulmonary function test at 6 hrs, 12 hrs and 24 hrs following non-laparoscopic urological surgery.<sup>7</sup> They found better FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC at 6 hours, better FVC and FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC at 12 hours and significant difference in FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC at 24 hrs following the surgery in the low tidal volume group. In a recent Cochrane systemic review, Guay *et al.*<sup>4</sup> concluded that low V<sub>T</sub> should be used preferentially during surgery. The incidence of postoperative pneumonia and prolonged ventilation was significantly reduced in patients who had received low V<sub>T</sub> during surgery as compared to high V<sub>T</sub>. The authors had noticed a slight decrease in hospital stay in low V<sub>T</sub> group with no difference in 30-day mortality rate. In our study, we observed more atelectasis and unfavourable PDS in group H although it was statistically not significant.

We also evaluated the quality of analgesia through NRS score which showed no significant difference between the two groups. This could be attributed to the use of the same GA, regional anaesthesia (epidural analgesia) and multimodal analgesia protocol in both the groups. Optimal postoperative analgesia prevents under ventilation and helps in alleviating the neuroendocrine stress response. The epidural analgesia technique is proven to be superior to on-demand analgesics.<sup>1</sup>

There was no difference in the incidence of cough and fever (temperature >38°C) in the post-operative period between the two groups. Asida *et al.* also reported similar findings in the above-mentioned parameters.<sup>7</sup> Severgnini *et al.* observed statistically significant atelectasis in patients received high V<sub>T</sub> on first and third postoperative days.<sup>9</sup> Our study had a few limitations. We did not compare ventilatory parameters in obese patients or patients with obstructive or restrictive lung diseases. We also did not measure lung compliance and arterial blood gas. The sample size was restricted to only 24 patients as we included only open urological procedures.

## CONCLUSION

To conclude, a lung-protective strategy using low tidal volume with 5–10 cm of H<sub>2</sub>O PEEP and RM helped to improve lung functions in the first 12 hours after surgery as compared to conventional high tidal volume MV with zero PEEP and no RM. The overall perioperative follow up did not show any other significant differences between the two groups.

## REFERENCES

1. Kelkar KV. Post-operative pulmonary complications after non-cardiothoracic surgery. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2015;59(9):599-605.
2. Miskovic A, Lumb AB. Postoperative pulmonary complications. *Br J Anaesth.* 2017; 118(3) 3: 317–334.
3. Lellouche F, Dionne S, Simard S, Bussi eres J, Dagenais F. High tidal volumes in mechanically ventilated patients increase organ dysfunction after cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology.* 2012;116(5):1072-1082.
4. Guay J, Ochroch EA, Kopp S. Intraoperative use of low volume ventilation to decrease postoperative mortality, mechanical ventilation, lengths of stay and lung injury in adults without acute lung injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;7(7):CD011151.
5. Sundar S, Novack V, Jervis K, *et al.* Influence of low tidal volume ventilation on time to extubation in cardiac surgical patients. *Anesthesiology* 2011; 114:1102–10
6. Manikandan S, Rao GS. Effect of surgical position on pulmonary gas exchange in neurosurgical patients. *Indian J. Anaesth.* 2002;46(5):356–9.
7. Asida S, Badawy M. Effect of low tidal volume during general anesthesia for urological procedures on lung functions. *Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia* 2015; 31:127-34.
8. Dureuil B, Cantineau JP, Desmots JM. Effects of upper or lower abdominal surgery on diaphragmatic function. *Br J Anaesth.* 1987; 59:1230–5.
9. Severgnini P, Selmo G, Lanza C, Chiesa A, *et al.* Protective mechanical ventilation during general anesthesia for open abdominal surgery improves postoperative pulmonary function. *Anesthesiology* 2013; 118:1307–21.

Source of Support: None Declared  
Conflict of Interest: None Declared

### Policy for Articles with Open Access:

Authors who publish with MedPulse International Journal of Anesthesiology (Print ISSN:2579-0900) (Online ISSN: 2636-4654) agree to the following terms: Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.

Authors are permitted and encouraged to post links to their work online (e.g., in institutional repositories or on their website) prior to and during the submission process, as it can lead to productive exchanges, as well as earlier and greater citation of published work.