# Comparison between magnesium sulphate and dexmedetomidine in controlled hypotension during functional endoscopic sinus surgery

Catherine Kapoor<sup>1</sup>, Sriram Sundar N<sup>2</sup>, D Ashok Kumar<sup>3\*</sup>, Susan Rajkumari D<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, <sup>4</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, Rajiv Gandhi Government General Hospital College, RGGGH, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Government Medical hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, INDIA. **Email:** <u>ashokpainclinic@gmail.com</u>

# Abstract

**Background:** The current study aims to compare the efficacy of dexmedetomidine and magnesium sulphate to reduce blood loss and improve surgical site visibility by controlled hypotension during functional endoscopic sinus surgery(FESS). It also compares the following: satisfaction of the surgeon, time taken to achieve desired mean arterial pressure, total requirement of muscle relaxants, attenuation of hemodynamic response to tracheal manipulation, postoperative sedation and adverse effects. A randomized, prospective study was conducted on 40 patients(18-65 years) with ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) physical status I or 2 posted for FESS. Patients were randomly allocated into 2 groups: (1) group D, received 1  $\mu$ g/kg dexmedetomidine 10 minutes prior to induction, followed by 0.5-1  $\mu$ g/kg/hr as maintenance dose, and (2) group M, received 40 mg/kg of magnesium sulphate 10 minutes prior to induction followed by 10 - 15 mg/kg/hour as maintenance dose. The goal was to achieve a 20%-30% decrease from baseline mean arterial pressure (MAP). Blood loss was lower and surgeon's satisfaction was higher in Group D. Patients in Group D group required frequent administration of atracurium. **Conclusion:** Dexmedetomidine proved to be a superior agent to provide controlled hypotension

Key Words: Controlled Hypotension, Dexmedetomidine, Magnesium Sulfate, FESS(Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery), MAP (Mean Arterial Blood Pressure).

### \*Address for Correspondence:

Dr D Ashok Kumar, Department of Anesthesiology, Rajiv Gandhi Government General Hospital College, RGGGH, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. Email: ashokpainclinic@gmail.com

Received Date: 05/08/2020 Revised Date: 16/09/2020 Accepted Date: 11/10/2020 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/10151633

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: <u>www.medpulse.in</u>
	Accessed Date: 13 December 2020

# **INTRODUCTION**

A large number of Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgeries are performed worldwide. The indications vary but the most common one is chronic rhinosinusitis. Bleeding poses a serious problem not only to the anaesthesiologist but to the surgeon as well. It hampers visibility, prolongs the surgical time, increases transfusion requirements and worsens post operative edema and ecchymosis. The above problems can be avoided by employing controlled hypotension. It most commonly describes a reduction in systolic pressure below 80-90mm Hg or a reduction in mean arterial pressure upto 60-65 mm Hg or a 30% fall from the baseline MAP. Dexmedetomidine is one of the newer drugs in the anaesthesiologist's armamentarium used for this very purpose. Being a highly selective alpha 2 adrenergic agonist it has a wide clinical application in the following spheres: premedication, sedation, adjuvant in regional techniques, controlled hypotension, attenuation of hemodynamic response to tracheal manipulation, post operative analgesia and awake intubation. That being listed, dexmedetomidine has a side effect profile which includes hypotension, bradycardia, dry mouth and nausea.

How to site this article: Catherine Kapoor, Sriram Sundar N, D Ashok Kumar, Susan Rajkumari D. Comparison between magnesium sulphate and dexmedetomidine in controlled hypotension during functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *MedPulse International Journal of Anesthesiology*. December 2020; 16(3): 71-75. http://medpulse.in/Anesthesiology/index.php

Reversal of sedation and sympatholytic effect can be achieved with Atipamezole, an alpha2 receptor antagonist, in a dose dependent manner. Magnesium sulphate which exerts its effect by blocking NMDA receptors and calcium channels has been used in the treatment of eclampsia, arrhythmias, refractory hypokalemia, shivering, status asthmaticus, premature labour and for analgesia, controlled hypotension, attenuation of hemodynamic response during intubation and extubation. This study aims to compare the blood loss and operative site visibility during FESS with controlled hypotension by using dexmedetomidine or magnesium sulphate to determine the better drug. Other indicators used were surgeon's satisfaction. time required to achieve controlled hypotension, use of muscle relaxants, attenuation of hemodynamic response during tracheal intubation and extubation, post operative sedation and complications. **Methods** 

# Study design

The study is a prospective, randomised trial with 40 participants

### **Participants and Study procedure**

Patients aged between 18 to 65 years, with a BMI less than 35kg/m2, posted for elective FESS with ASA PS-1 or 2 were enrolled after obtaining informed consent. Patients with hepatic or renal dysfunction, bleeding and coagulation disorders, history of atopy and those on calcium channel blockers were excluded. Patients were allocated into Group D and Group M by randomisation. Inside the operating theatre, patients were connected to standard monitors ( non invasive blood pressure monitor, 5 lead ECG, pulse oximetry, EtCO2 and temperature probe) and baseline readings were obtained. The frequency of monitoring was every 5 minutes. Intravenous access was established and fluids were given in accordance with the Holliday Segar formula. Patients were premedicated with inj. glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg iv and inj. midazolam 1 mg iv. Before induction of anaesthesia patients in Group D received lug/kg of dexmedetomidine in 100 ml normal saline over 10 minutes and Group M received 40mg/kg of magnesium sulphate in 100 ml normal saline infused over 10 minutes. Preoxygenation was followed bv administration of inj. fentanyl 2ug/kg and induction with inj. thiopentone 3-5 mg/kg. Inj. Atracurium 0.5mg/kg was given to ensure optimal intubating conditions. Patients were intubated with cuffed endotracheal tubes of appropriate size and mechanically ventilated (mode: controlled mechanical ventilation). A throat pack was inserted. Five minutes prior to incision the nasal mucosa was infiltrated with 2 ml of 2% lignocaine containing 1:200000 Adrenaline. The anaesthetic plane was maintained with sevoflurane, oxygen-nitrous oxide mixture and Inj. Atracurium 0.1 mg/kg. The total dose of

atracurium used was noted. Skin temperature was maintained above 32°C and EtCO2 between 35-40mm Hg. Patients in Group M received a maintenance dose of 10-15mg/kg of magnesium sulfate and group D received 0.5-1 µg/kg of Dexmedetomidine. The infusion rate was titrated to achieve hypotension. Controlled hypotension was defined as a decrease of 20% - 30% from the baseline MAP. The time taken for the baseline MAP to fall by 20 % was recorded. If this target was not achieved within 15 minutes inspite of the patient receiving the maximum upper limit of the maintenance dose, an infusion of Inj. Nitroglycerine was started and titrated to achieve the goal. However if the MAP decreased by more than 30% despite infusion of the lower limit of maintenance dose, Inj. Ephedrine 6 mg iv was given. Bradycardia was defined as a decrease in heart rate more than 20% from baseline or a heart rate below 50 beats/minute. The lower value was taken into consideration and Inj. atropine 0.6 mg was administered. Inj. Ondansetron 0.1 mg/kg was given 30 minutes prior to extubation. At the end of surgery the infusions were stopped and the patient was reversed with Inj. Neostigmine (50 µg/kg) and Inj. Glycopyrrolate (10 µg/kg). The Modified Ramsay sedation scale was used.

### SCORE CHARACTERISTICS

- 1 Awake and alert, minimal or no cognitive impairment
- 2 Awake but tranquil, purposeful responses to verbal commands at conversational level
- 3 Appears asleep, purposeful responses to verbal commands at conversational level
- 4 Appears asleep, purposeful responses to verbal commands but at louder than conversational level or light glabellar tap
- 5 Asleep, sluggish purposeful responses only to loud verbal commands or strong glabellar tap
- 6 Asleep, sluggish purposeful response only to painful stimuli
- 7 Asleep, reflex withdrawal to painful stimuli only
- 8 Unresponsive to external stimuli, including pain
- 2-3 Minimal sedation
- 4-5 Moderate sedation
- 6-8 Deep sedation

Post operative events like shivering were noted. Modified Aldrete score  $\geq 9$  made the patient eligible for ward transfer. The duration of surgery was noted(time taken from the infusion of the loading dose to extubation of patient)

The surgeon evaluated the surgical site visibility and communicated the score. Evaluation was based on a 6 point scale.

0 – No bleeding

1

-Mild bleeding, suction not necessary

- 2 Mild bleeding, occasional suctioning required, non threatened surgical field
- 3 Mild bleeding, frequent suctioning required, bleeding threatens surgical field few seconds after suction
- 4 Moderate bleeding, frequent suctioning required, bleeding threatens surgical site immediately after suction
- 5 Severe bleeding, continued suction needed, bleeding appears faster than it can be removed by suction

The surgeon's satisfaction was denoted by a 4 point scale 1 - poor, 2 - moderate, 3 - good, 4 - very good

### Statistical analysis

Data entry was done in Microsoft Excel 2013 and analysed using IBM.SPSS statistics software 23.0 Version. For continuous variables the mean and standard deviation were used. Categorical variables were subjected to descriptive statistics, frequency and percentage analysis. Significant differences between the bivariate samples in independent groups was analysed using unpaired sample t-test. The Chi- Square test was employed to find the significance of categorical data. If the expected cell frequency was less than 5 in  $2\times 2$  tables then the Fisher's exact test was used. The probability value of 0.05 or less was considered significant in all the above tests.

# RESULTS

The difference in age distribution, gender, mean weight, ASA physical status, mean duration of surgery and baseline MAP between the study groups was not statistically significant. Group D had a lesser increase in MAP when compared to Group M post intubation and post extubation. Both groups were comparable with regards to MAP during the following: post induction, intraoperative period (5 minutes, 10 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes and 45 minutes) and 5 minutes post extubation.



Figure 1: Comparison of MAP among groups

The two groups had comparable baseline heart rate. However, differences in heart rate during the post intubation period, post extubation period and 5 minutes post extubation were statistically significant. Group D had a lesser increase in heart rate when compared to Group M post intubation, post extubation and 5 minutes post extubation. Differences in heart rate post induction, intraoperative period (5 minutes, 10 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes and 45 minutes) and post intubation were not statistically significant.



Figure 2: Comparison of heart rate among groups

The difference in bleeding scores and surgeon's satisfaction was statistically significant .Group D had lower bleeding scores and higher surgeon satisfaction



Figure 3: Bleeding score; Figure 4: Surgeon satisfaction with group

The time taken for the MAP to decrease by 20% was comparable in both groups. The requirement of NTG was comparable between both the groups. Patients in group M had statistically significant decreased intraoperative requirement of Atracurium to achieve neuromuscular blockade (45 vs. 52.8).



The difference in postoperative sedation, incidence of bradycardia, hypotension and shivering between both groups were not statistically significant.

### DISCUSSION

FESS, an endoscopic surgical procedure requires good visibility for optimal operating conditions. In this study we have compared dexmedetomidine and magnesium sulfate for achieving controlled hypotension. There was no significant difference in terms of age, weight, gender and the physical status of patients . Our findings revealed that dexmedetomidine was a better drug for controlled hypotension in Functional endoscopic sinus surgery when compared to magnesium sulfate. It provides better surgical site visibility and surgeon satisfaction, as observed by Adnan Bayram et al.<sup>6</sup> and Ackan Akkaya [3]. Duration of surgery between the two groups was similar(Akkaya et *al.*<sup>3</sup>, Aboushanab *et al.*<sup>1</sup> and Modir *et al.*<sup>28</sup>). The time taken to achieve 20% decline in MAP was not statistically significant, unlike the study by Omyma S.M. Khalifa et al.. [26]. There was a significant difference in atracurium requirement. Patients in group M required a lesser dose than those in Group D. This was discordant to the observation by Rabie Solimon et al.33. The intraoperative values of MAP and heart rate were not significantly different except in the post intubation and post extubation period as dexmedetomidine attenuated the hemodynamic stress response to tracheal manipulation. Comparison of postoperative sedation scores was not statistically significant, unlike results in other studies (Omyma, Aboushanab and Hesameddin). There was no significant difference in the incidence of bradycardia and hypotension unlike the observations made by Rabie Solimon et al.<sup>33</sup>

### LIMITATIONS

A control group was not included in the study as the surgeons required deliberate hypotension for all patients.

## CONCLUSION

In this study Dexmedetomidine proved to be superior in providing controlled hypotension than magnesium sulfate in FESS by improving surgical site visibility and surgeon satisfaction. A better attenuation of hemodynamic stress response to tracheal manipulation, without prolonging recovery period or increasing complications has led us to recommend Dexmedetomidine as a safe agent for controlled hypotension in patients posted for FESS.

## REFERENCES

- Aboushanab OH, El-Shaarawy AM. A comparative study between magnesium sulfate and dexmedetomidine for deliberate hypotension during middle ear surgery. Egypt J Anaesth 2011; 27:227–232
- Ahmed Abdel Hakim Balata, Howaida Kamal Abdel Latif, Salwa Hassan Waly, Ahmed Bahgat Mohamed. Dexmedetomidine versus magnesium sulfate or lidocaine for blunting stress response to direct laryngoscopy and

endotracheal intubation in abdominal surgeries. Z.U.M.J.Vol. 24, No. 6, November 2018

- Akkaya A, Tekelioglu UY, Demirhan A, et al... Comparison of the effects of magnesium sulfate and dexmedetomidine on surgical vision quality in endoscopic sinus surgery: randomized clinical study Rev Bras Anestesiol. 2014;64:406-412.
- 4. Aldrete JA. The post-anaesthesia recovery score revisited. J Clin Anesth 1995;7:89-91.
- Anttilla M, J Penttila, A Helminen, L Vuorilehto. Scheinin H.Bioavailability of dexmedetomidine after extravascular doses in healthy subjects. Br J Clin Pharmaco. 2003;56(6):691–93.
- Bayram A, Ulgey A, Günes I, Ketenci I, Capar A, Esmaoglu A, *et al.* Comparison between magnesium sulfate and dexmedetomidine in controlled hypotension during functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Rev Bras Anestesiol 2015;65:61-7.
- Bekker AY, J Basile, M Gold, T Riles, M Adelman, G Cuff, *et al.* Dexmedetomidine for awake carotid endarterectomy: Efficacy, hemodynamic profile, and side effects. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2004;16:126–35.
- 8. Bergese SD, B Khabiri, WD Roberts, MB Howie, TD Mc Sweeney, MA Gerhardt, *et al.*.. Dexmedetomidine for conscious sedation in difficult awake fiberoptic intubation cases. J Clin Anesth. 2007;19:141–44.
- 9. Bijker JB, van Klei WA, Kappen TH, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ: Incidence of intraoperative hypotension as a function of the chosen definition: Literature definitions applied to a retrospective cohort using automated data collection. Anesthesiology 2007; 107:213–20Bijker, JB van Klei, WA Kappen, TH van Wolfswinkel, L Moons, KG Kalkman, CJ
- 10. Boezaart AP, van der Merwe J, Coetzee A. Comparison of sodium nitroprusside- and esmolol-induced controlled hypotension for functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Can J Anaesth.1995;42:373---6.
- Charles J. Coté, Christopher P. Stowell, Strategies for Blood Product Management, Reducing Transfusions, and Massive Blood Transfusion. A Practice of Anesthesia for Infants and Children (Sixth Edition), 2019
- Cimen ZS, A Hanci, GU Sivrikaya, LT Kilinc, MK Erol. Comparison of buccal and nasal dexmedetomidine premedication for pediatric patients. Paediatr Anaesth. 2013;23(2):134–38.
- Cooper L, K Candiotti, C Gallagher, E Grenier, KL Arheart, ME Barron. A Randomized, Controlled Trial on Dexmedetomidine for Providing Adequate Sedation and Hemodynamic Control for Awake, Diagnostic Transesophageal Echocardiography. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2011;25:233–37.
- Cravero JP, Charles J. Coté. Sedation for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures Outside the Operating Room. A Practice of Anesthesia for Infants and Children (Sixth Edition), 2019
- 15. Degoute CS. Controlled hypotension: a guide to drug choice. Drugs. 2007;67:1053-76.
- Do SH. Magnesium: A versatile drug for anesthesiologists. Korean J Anesthesiol 2013;65:4-8
- 17. Elsharnouby N.M., M.M. Elsharnouby. Magnesium sulfate as a technique of hypotensive anaesthesia. British

Journal of Anaesthesia, Volume 96, Issue 6, June 2006, Pages 727-731.

- Fromme GA, Mackenzie RA, Gould Jr AB, Lund BA, Offord KP. Controlled hypotension for orthognathic surgery. Anesth Analg 1986;65(6):683–6.
- Ghali A, AK Mahfouz, T Ihanamäki, AM El Btarny. Dexmedetomidine versus propofol for sedation in patients undergoing vitreoretinal surgery under sub- Tenon's anesthesia. Saudi J Anaesth. 2011;5:36–41.
- Gihan MO, Amira Refaie, Ossama Aboushanab, Neamat Ibraheem, Mossad Abdelazees. Addition of dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine for greater palatine nerve block prolongs postoperative analgesia after cleft palate repairh. European Journal of Anaesthesiology. 2010;27(3):280–24.
- Guler G, A Akin, E Tosun, E Eskitafloglu, A Mizrak, A Boyaci. Single dose dexmedetomidine attenuates airway and circulatory reflexes during extubation. Acta Anaesthesiol scand. 2005;49:1088–91.
- Guven DG, Demiraran Y, Sezen G, *et al.*.. Evaluation of outcomesin patients given dexmedetomidine in functional endoscopicsinus surgery. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2011;120:586---92
- Holliday MA and William E. Segar. The maaintenance need for water in parenteral fluid therapy. Pediatrics May 1957, 19 (5) 823-832;
- 24. Jalowiecki P, R Rudner, M Gonciarz, P Kawecki, M Petelenz, P Dziurdzik. Sole use of dexmedetomidine has limited utility for conscious sedation during outpatient colonoscopy. Anesthesiology. 2005;103:269–73.
- 25. Kaygusuz K, G Gokce, S Gursoy, S Ayan, C Mimaroglu, Y Gultekin. A comparison of sedation with dexmedetomidine or propofol during shockwave lithotripsy: A randomized controlled trial. Anesth Analg. 2008;106:114–19.
- 26. Khalifa OS, Awad OG. A comparative study of Dexmedetomidine, magnesium sulfate or glyceryl trinitrate in deliberate hypotension during functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Ain Shams Journal of Anaesthesiology 2015,8:320 6.
- 27. Kim H, Ha SH, Kim CH, Lee SH, Choi SH. Efficacy of intraoperative dexmedetomidine infusion on visualization

process, as it can lead to productive exchanges, as well as earlier and greater citation of published work.

of the surgical field in endoscopic sinus surgery. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2015;68:449–454.

- 28. Modir H, Amirreza Modir, Omid Rezaei, and Abolfazl Mohammadbeigi. Comparing remifentanil, magnesium sulfate, and dexmedetomidine for intraoperative hypotension and bleeding and postoperative recovery in endoscopic sinus surgery and tympanomastoidectomy. Med Gas Res. 2018 Apr-Jun; 8(2): 42–47.
- Naaz S, Ozair E. Dexmedetomidine in current anaesthesia practice-a review. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014;8:GE01–GE04
- Ryu JH, Sohn IS, Do SH. Controlled hypotension for middle ear surgery: a comparison between remifentanil and magnesium sulfate. Br J Anaesth. 2009;103:490–495.
- 31. Salmasi, V, Maheshwari, K, Yang, D, Mascha, EJ, Singh, A, Sessler, DI, Kurz, A Relationship between intraoperative hypotension, defined by either reduction from baseline or absolute thresholds, and acute kidney and myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: A retrospective cohort analysis. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2017; 126:47–65
- 32. Scheinin H, R Aantaa, M Anttila, P Hakola, A Helminen, S Karhuvaara. Reversal of the sedative and sympatholytic effects of dexmedetomidine with a specific alpha 2adrenoceptor antagonist atipamizole: A pharmacodynamic and kinetic study in healthy volunteers. Anaesthesiology. 1998;89:574.
- 33. Soliman R, Fouad E. The effect of dexmedetomidine and magnesium sulfate in adults undergoing endoscopic transnasal transphenoidal resection of pituitary adenoma: a double blind randomised study. Indian J Anaesth 2017;61(5):410-417
- 34. Song JW, Lee YW, Yoon KB, *et al.*.. Magnesium sulfate prevents remifentanil-induced postoperative hyperalgesia in patients undergoing thyroidectomy. Anesth Analg. 2011;113:390---7.
- Tan PY, Ruban Poopalalingam, Anaesthetic Concerns for Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare, 2014; Volume 23:No 3: 246-253
- Yuen VM, TW Hui, MG Irwin, MK Yuen. A comparison of intranasal dexemedetomidine and oral midazolam for premedication in pediatric anaesthesia: A double blinded randomized controlled trial. Anaesthesia and analgesia. 2008;106(6):1715–22.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared

### Policy for Articles with Open Access:

Authors who publish with MedPulse International Journal of Anesthesiology (Print ISSN:2579-0900) (Online ISSN: 2636-4654) agree to the following terms: Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal. Authors are permitted and encouraged to post links to their work online (e.g., in institutional repositories or on their website) prior to and during the submission