Outcome of type III open tibal fracture

Sarang Vyawahare^{1*}, Abdul Naser², Rajeev Mohagaonkar³, Uday Phute⁴

^{1,2,3,4} Orthopaedic Consultant, Seth Nandlal Dhoot Hospital, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, INDIA. **Email:** <u>drsarang.v@gmail.com</u>

Abstract Introduction: During this automobile era Road traffic accident becoming more and more common. Approximately more than 4.5 million open fractures occur per year in India. Tibia is the commonest site of open fractures. These injuries often result in extensive damage to soft tissue and bone. Severe open tibia fractures when associated with vascular injuries, present major challenges in management. The decision to amputate or salvage can often be difficult one even for experienced surgeon. The use of metallic plate, external fixation and intramedullary nailing are under investigation and we are in process of involving consensus. The present study was conducted to find outcome of Gustilo Anderson's type-III open tibial fractures in term of union time, amputation rate and was carried out in department of Orthopaedics of Seth Nandlal Dhoot Hospital, Aurangabad. The study was planned to evaluate the results of 50cases of Gustilo Anderson's type III open tibial fractures. **Conclusion:** Aggressive debridement, skeletal stabilization, early soft tissue coverage and timely bone graft are needed for type III open fractures of tibia to unite. As soft tissue injury increases bone union time and amputation rate increases. The choice of implant depends upon the condition of the soft tissue for skeletal stabilization. Gustilo Anderson classification gave good guide line for prognostic outcome of type III tibial fractures. **Key word:** Gustilo Anderson's type III tibia, plate, nail, external fixator.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Sarang Vyawahare, Flat C2/1 Kasliwal Poorva Apartment, Chikhalthana, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, INDIA. **Email:** <u>drsarang.v@gmail.com</u> Received Date: 10/09/2014 Accepted Date: 17/09/2014



INTRODUCTION

The beginning of the new century has been very impressive with lots of advancements coming into practice in the field of Science and Technology. Civil life has changed its gear to match with the speedy routine. Roads, Automobiles and Transport network show a modified look. But on the other side, the dizzying pace of vehicles and ignoring the importance of road safety measures have resulted in escalating the number of RTAs and loss of precious human lives. Approximately more than 4.5 million open fractures occur per vear in India¹. Tibia is the commonest site of open fractures.² These injuries often result in extensive damage to soft tissue and bone³. Severe open tibia fractures when associated with vascular injuries. present major challenges in

management The decision to amputate or salvage can often be difficult one even for experienced surgeon^{4,5,6}. The use of metallic plate, external fixation and intramedullary nailing are under investigation and we are in process of involving consensus

The current strategy of open tibial fracture treatment is;

- 1. Broad spectrum antibiotic after taking culture swab
- 2. Early surgical debridment.
- 3. Early skeletal stabilization
- 4. Early, appropriate soft tissue coverage
- 5. Subsequent early bone grafting if required.^{7,8,9}

In present study was conducted in The Department of Orthopedics, Seth Nandlal Dhoot Hospital (SNDH), Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India. Duration of the study was from January, 2001 to December, 2008.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVE

- 1. To find outcome of Gustilo Anderson's type-III open tibial fractures
- 2. To observe Union time
- 3. To observe Amputation rate

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Present study was carried out in department of Orthopaedics of Seth Nandlal Dhoot Hospital,

How to site this article: Sarang Vyawahare, Abdul Naser, Rajeev Mohagaonkar, Uday Phute. Outcome of type III open tibal fracture. *MedPulse – International Medical Journal* September 2014; 1(9): 556-560. <u>http://www.medpulse.in</u> (accessed 20 September 2014).

Aurangabad. The study was planned to evaluate the results of 50 cases of Gustilo Anderson's type III open tibial fractures. Retrospective and prospective analysis of outcome was done in various modalities of treatment

CLASSIFICATIONS

We used modified Gustilo-Anderson's classification for classifying open fractures of tibia.

Type I: Clean wound less than 1 cm long

Type II: laceration more than 1 cm long but is without extensive soft tissue damage, skin flaps or avulsions.

Type IIIA: Extensive soft tissue laceration or flap but maintain adequate soft tissue coverage of bone, or they result from high energy trauma regardless of size of wound .these group includes segmental or severely comminutated fracture, even those with 1 cm laceration.

Type III B: Extensive soft tissue loss with periosteal Stripping with bone exposure. The usually are massive contamination.



 Table 1: Incidence of type III fractures according to Modified

 Gustilo – Anderson's classification

F	racture type	Percentage			
	IIIA	30%			
	IIIB	38%			
	IIIC	32%			
Table 2: No. of patients underwent amputations					
Туре	Primary	Secondary	Total		
А	-	-	-		

С	3	3	6
В	1	2	3
A	-	-	-

Total no of amputations done in our series was 9 (18%), out of these 4 patients (44%) underwent primary amputation and 5 (55%) underwent secondary amputation. Incidence of amputation was maximum (37.5 %) in type IIIC. Not a single patient of type III A underwent amputation. **Type III C:** open fracture with arterial injuries that requires repair regardless of size of soft tissue wound.¹⁰

OPERATIVE MODALITIES

Interlock Nailing, Plating, External Fixator, Ilizarov's Ring Fixator, Debridment, Split Skin Graft, Fasciocutaneous Flap, Muscle Flap, Cross Leg Flaf

OBSERVATIONS

The present study consists of 50 cases of open tibia fractures. All those fractures were divided according to Gustilo Anderson's into type IIIA, IIIB and IIIC. In all patients appropriate debridment , early skeletal stabilization, soft tissue coverage was done, union time amputation rate were calculated. The data of these cases had been compiled. All other associated injuries were taken care

Anatomical level of fracture shaft

Upper third shaft fractures were more common (46%) Fixation modalities

Out of 50 patients, 9 patients underwent unreamed intramedullary interlocking nailing; Plate applied to 7 patients and in 17 patients primarily external fixator was used. 8 patients were such that required multiple implants or other than these implants, 9 patients underwent amputation

Table 3:				
Type Method	Α	В	С	Total
I.L. Nailing	5	3	1	9
Plating	3	2	2	7
External fixator	5	7	5	17
Combined/ others	2	4	2	8
Total	15	16	10	41

Table 4: Union time (months)							
Type Method	Α	В	С	Total			
Nail	6.2	7.7	10	7			
Plate	7.8	7.76	11.5	8.92			
External fix.	9.88	23.76	16.2	13.4			
Combined/ other	12.6	14.28	19.8	15.41			
Total	7.37	11.74	15.35	11.67			

The mean time of union in nailing group was app. 7 months, in plating group 8.92 months, in external fixator group 13.40 months In type IIIA, B and C it was 7.37 months, 11.74 months and 15.35 months respectively Mean union time in our series was11.67 months.



Figure 1: Pre-op clinical photo and radiograph



Figure 2: Post debridement and with ex fix



Figure 3: Sequestrum





Figure 4: Fasciocutaneous cross leg flap





Figure 5: After division of cross leg flap



Figure 6: After bone union

DISCUSSION

Present study focus on 50 patients with fresh type III open tibial fractures which were classified by Gustilo-Anderson's in to type IIIA, III B and IIIC. 15 patients were type III A, 19 type B and 16 in type III C. Out of these 50 patients, 9 patients underwent amputation, 4 primary and 5 secondary. Of 41 patients, 9 underwent unreamed intrameullary interlocking nailing, Plate applied to 7 patients and in 17 patients' primarily external fixator used 8 patients was such which required multiple implants or other than these implants. Results of all these were compared with previous studies. As per age and sex distribution 75 % patients were in 2nd to 4th decade, 18 % patients were from 5th decade and maximum age being 69 year. These were compared with previous studies of Court-Brown Cm etal¹¹, Azam Q et al¹², Kesemenli CC etal¹³. There were 39 male and 11 female patients. Road traffic accident was most common mode of injury in 87% cases and males were more commonly involved.2nd to 5th age group males form the active earning members of familyand early uncomplicated outcomes make return to work early and minimize dependency ratio. As far as side concerned, in our study majority of fractures were right sided (70%) and Upper third tibia fracture were more common (46%) Amputation rate was calculated in our study. Over all amputation rate was 18% which were 0, 15.78 and 37.5% in type IIIA, B and C respectively which are compared with Gustilo RB et al^{14} , Court-Brown Cm et al^{11} . Out of 9 patients 4 underwent primary amputation and 5 underwent secondary amputation. Multiple debridement, skin and bone grafting, various type of muscle flap was the various operations needed other than skeletal fixation. In type III C because of loss of blood supply and presence of nonviable tissue, healing process was slow and multiple procedures were needed to soft tissue coverage and bony union. In present study 70% patients got operated within 12 hrs. of injury. None of patient was operatated after 48 hrs. Most of type IIIB and C patient got operated as early as possible after arrival to hospital. The delay in operation in type III B and C was due to time required to reach to hospital In our study mean union time was 11.67 months which in type IIIA, B and C were 7.37, 11.74, 15.35 months respectively which

were compared with Court-Brown Cm et al¹¹, Gustilo RB *et al*¹⁴, Ueno M *et al*¹⁵, Song KJ *et al*¹⁶, Sudhir babhulkar *et al*¹⁷, Inan M etal *et al*¹⁸. In nailing group mean union time was 7.0 month compare with Shannon FJ et al^{19} , Henley MB *et al*²⁰, Inan M *et al*²¹, Kaftandziev I *et al*²², Kesemenli CC et al¹³, in plating group 8.92 months and in external fixator group it was 13.4 months. Compare with Ueno M *et al*²³, Strackee SD *et al*²⁴, Song KJ *et al*¹⁶, Inan M *et al*,¹⁸. Maximum mean union time was 19.8 months in group of patients which required multiple implants in type IIIC. In previous report, Song K J et al¹⁶ in his 44 type III open tibia fractures average union time in IIIA was 9.2 months, IIIB was 11.0 months and III C was 13.8 months.¹ In Ueno M *et al*, study average union time was 14 months in type III B open tibia fractures . In 2007 Inon M et al^{18} , found average bone union healing was 19 week (14-23week) for external fixator group and 21 week (16-36 week) for unreamed tibia nail group in 61 type IIII A patients. Kaftandziev I et al²², found mean time of union was 38.4 weeks in patients with external fixture group, and 32.8 weeks in unreamed tibia nailing group in 48 type IIIA and IIIB patients. In our study we got satisfactory results as compared to other studies in gustilo's type III A and B fractures even if we fixed it after golden paid (<6 hrs) when strick protocol such as aggressive debridment, prophylactic antibiotic coverage, early soft tissue reconstructive and timely bone and skin grafting done.

CONCLUSION

Aggressive debridement, skeletal stabilization, early soft tissue coverage and timely bone graft are needed for type III open fractures of tibia to unite As soft tissue injury increases bone union time and amputation rate increases. The choice of implant depends upon the condition of the soft tissue for skeletal stabilization. Gustilo Anderson classification gave good guide line for prognostic outcome of type III tibial fractures. For late cases the decision about primary amputation vs. limb salvage is important issue which is not fully explained by any of the current available guideline

REFERENCES

- 1. William W, Cross III, Marc F Swiontkowski. Indian Journal of orthopaedic; 2008 oct-dec; vol42:377.
- Chapman MV, Olson SA. Open fractures. In: Rockwood CA Jr, Green DP, Buchloz Rw, Heckman JD, editors. Rockwood and Green's Fractures in adult. 4th ed. Philodelphia Lippincott: Raven; 1996:P. 305-52.
- 3. Vikas Kulshrestha. Indian Journal of orthopaedic. 2008 oct- dec; vol42:401.
- Hansen ST Jr. Overview of severely traumatized lower limb: Reconstruction versus amputation .Clin orthop Relat Res 1989; 243:17-9.
- Webb Lx, Bosse MJ, Castillo RC, Mackenzie EJ. Analysis of Surgeon Controlled variables in treatment of Limb- threatening type III open tibial diaphyseal fractures. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 2007; 89:293-8.
- 6. Hansen ST Jr. The type III C tibial fractures:Salwage or amputation. J Bone Joint surg(Am) 1987;69:799-800.
- Blick SS, Brumback RJ, Lakatos R, Poka A, Burgess AR. Early Prophylactic bone grafting of high energy tibial fractures.Clin Orthop Relat Res1989; 240:21-41.
- Fischer MD, Gustilo R B, Varecka TF. The timing of flap coverage, bone grafting, and intramedulary nailing in patient who have a fracture of tibial shaft with extensive soft tissue injury. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1991; 93-1316-22.
- Patzakis MJ, willkins J, Moore TM. Consideration in reducing the infection rate in open tibial fractures.Clin Orthop Relat Res 1983; 178:36-41.
- Terry canale S, james H Beaty. Campbell's operative orthopaedic. 11th edition; general principle of fracture treatment; Apaiga whittle. Editorial assistance by kay Daughertyandlinda jones, Philadelphia: Elsevier health
- Court-Brown Cm, Wheelwright Ef, Christie J, Mcqueen Mm. External Fixation for Type III Open Tibial Fractures. J.Bone Joint Surg [Br] 1990; 72-B: 801-4. Science; 2008:3020.
- Azam Q, Sherwani MKA, Abbas M, Gupta R, Asif N, Sabir AB. Internal fixation in compound type III fracture Presenting after golden period. IJO 2007; 41:204.
- Kesemenli CC, Kapukaya A, Subasi M, Arslan H, Necmioglu S, Kayikçi C. Early prophylactic autogenous bone grafting in type III open tibial fractures. Acta Orthop Belg 2004 Aug; 70(4):327-31.
- 14. Gustilo RB,Mendoza RM,Williams DN.Problems in the management of type III (severe) open fractures: a new

classification of type III open fractures. J Trauma 1984 Aug; 24(8):742-6.

- Ueno M, Yokoyama K, Nakamura K, Uchino M, Suzuki T, Itoman M. Early unreamed intramedullary nailing without a safety interval and simultaneous flap coverage following external fixation in type IIIB open tibial fractures: a report of four successful cases. Injury 2006 Mar; 37(3):289-94.
- Song KJ, Shin SJ, Hwang BY, Park MS. The Results of Operative treatment in Open Type III Tibia Fracture. J Korean Fracture Soc. 2003 Apr; 16(2):194-200.
- 17. Sudhir babhulkar, Raza H K T. Indian Journal of orthopaedic; 2008 oct-dec; vol42:365.
- Inan M, Tuncel M, Karaoglu S, Halici M. Treatment of type II and III open tibial fractures with Ilizarov external fixation. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2002; 36(5):390-6.
- Shannon FJ, Mullett H, O'Rourke K. Unreamed intramedullary nail versus external fixation in grade III open tibial fractures. J Trauma.2002 Apr; 52(4):650-4.
- 20. Henley MB, Chapman JR, Agel J, Harvey EJ, Whorton AM, Swiontkowski MF. Treatment of type II, IIIA, and IIIB open fractures of the tibial shaft: a prospective comparison of unreamed interlocking intramedullary nails and half-pin external fixators. J Orthop Trauma.1998 Jan; 12(1):1-7.
- Inan M, Halici M, Ayan I, Tuncel M, Karaoglu S. Treatment of type IIIA open fractures of tibial shaft with Ilizarov external fixator versus unreamed tibial nailing. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2007 Oct; 127(8):617-23.
- 22. Kaftandziev I, Pejkova S, Saveski J. Operative treatment of III grade open fractures of the tibial diaphysis. 2006 Jul;27(1):121-31
- 23. Ueno M, Yokoyama K, Nakamura K, Uchino M, Suzuki T, Itoman M. Early unreamed intramedullary nailing without a safety interval and simultaneous flap coverage following external fixation in type IIIB open tibial fractures: a report of four successful cases. Injury2006 Mar; 37(3):289-94.
- 24. Strackee SD, Breugem CC. Is there Evidence-Based Guidance for Timing of Soft Tissue Coverage of Grade III B Tibia Fractures? The International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds2006; 5:261-270.
- Khatod M, Botte MJ, Hoyt DB, Meyer RS, Smith JM, Akeson WH. Outcomes in open tibia fractures: relationship between delay in treatment and infection. J Trauma 2003 Nov; 55(5):949-54.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared