A study of intravenous infusion of Paracetamol versus intramuscular Tramadol for labour analgesia with respect to VAPS score at a rural tertiary health care centre

Yalempalepu Devi¹, Pundarikaksha H P², Keshav Gangadharan^{3*}

{^{1,3}Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology} {²Department of Pharmacology} PESIMSR, Kuppam, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA Email: <u>deviy456@gmail.com</u>, <u>drgkeshav@gmail.com</u>

Background: The pain of child birth is the most severe pain, most women will undergo in their lifetime and any Abstract measures taken to alleviate would enable them to go through this difficult period with confidence and comfort. Objective: To study the effects of intravenous infusion of Paracetamol versus intramuscular Tramadol for labor analgesia with respect to VAPS score at a rural tertiary health care centre. Methodology: This was a prospective, randomized clinical and comparative observational study done at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, P.E.S. Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Kuppam and approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee (IHEC). 100 parturients who presented in spontaneous labour were enrolled in the study after a written informed consent . The data was analyzed by un-paired t-test and chi-square test and calculated by the statistical software namely SPSS 18.0 and R environment version 3.2.2 were used for the analysis of the data. Results: The study group comprised 100 subjects including primigravid and multiparous parturients. The mean age of patients in the Paracetamol group was 23.82± 2.04 and in the Tramadol group was 24.48±2.40 years which were comparable with each other (p>0.05) Gestational age of the patient varied between 37-41 weeks in the Paracetamol and Tramadol groups with mean gestational age of 39.38± 0.77 and 39.26±0.77 weeks respectively and this was comparable (p>0.05). Visual Analogue Pain Scale (VAPS) 1 hr after the drug administration in the study groups (p value 0.006) was statistically significant. Pain was reduced significantly after 1hr of drug administration in the Paracetamol group than in the Tramadol group. Visual Analogue Pain Scale (VAPS) 3hr after the drug administration in study groups (p value 0.282), was not statistically significant. Conclusion: It was concluded from our study that the pain after 1 hour of Paracetmol administration reduced significantly by VAPS hence injectable Paracetamol could be preferred over Injectable Tramadol in labour analgesia. Key Words: Labor Analgesia

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Keshav Gangadharan, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology PES IMSR, KUPPAM.517425. Email: drgkeshav@gmail.com Received Date: 08/12/2019 Revised Date: 17/01/2020 Accepted Date: 02/02/2020

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.26611/10121333</u>

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:	Website:		
e:22%e	www.medpulse.in		
	Accessed Date: 06 March 2020		

INTRODUCTION

The pain of child birth is the most severe pain, most women will undergo in their lifetimes. Labor results in severe pain for many women. There is no other circumstance where it is considered acceptable for a person to experience untreated severe pain, amenable to safe intervention, while under a physician's care. In the absence of a medical contraindication, maternal request is a sufficient medical indication for pain relief during labor¹ Women in pain don't need an indication for pain relief in labor. The delivery of the infant into the arms of a conscious and pain-free mother is one of the most exciting and rewarding moments in medicine².

Labor may be the most painful experience many women ever encounter. The experience is different for each woman and the different methods chosen to relieve pain depend upon the techniques available locally and the

How to cite this article: Yalempalepu Devi, Pundarikaksha H P, Keshav Gangadharan. A study of intravenous infusion of Paracetamol versus intramuscular Tramadol for labour analgesia with respect to VAPS score at a rural tertiary health care centre. *MedPulse International Journal of Gynaecology*. March 2020; 13(3): 87-90. http://medpulse.in/Gynaecology/index.php

personal choice of the individual.³ Pain relief in labor has always been surrounded with myths and controversies. Hence, providing effective and safe analgesia during labor has remained an ongoing challenge.

Historically, the era of obstetric anaesthesia began with James Young Simpson, Professor of Midwifery at the University of Edinburgh, when he administered ether to a woman with a deformed pelvis during childbirth. His concept of etherization of labor was strongly condemned by critics!

In the evidence-based management of labor pain, numerous strategies, both pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic, have been used in the treatment of labor pain.⁴ Labor pain affects maternal psychology and the course of labor causing apprehension, anxiety and stress. Pain during the first stage of labor originates predominantly due to cervical dilatation and uterine muscle wall ischemia leading to lactate accumulation. During the late first stage and second stage of labor, the vagina and perineum form additional sources of pain. The associated increase in sympathetic activity leads to increased oxygen consumption, respiratory alkalosis, and metabolic acidosis which could lead to decreased oxygen being transferred to the fetus. Thus, pain relief during labor is expected to reduce maternal stress and improve the maternal and perinatal outcome.

Obstetric analgesia and anesthesia has now evolved from a vague possibility to reality. The non-pharmacological techniques of analgesia include emotional support, relaxed birth environment, psycho-somatic preparation, yoga, acupuncture, and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). The commonly used and more effective are pharmacological techniques which include opioids like pethidine and tramadol, though regional analgesia is the gold standard nowadays and routinely used in modern obstetric anesthesia in developed countries.⁵ The newer advances like combined spinal epidurals, low dose epidurals, patient controlled intravenous, inhalational, and epidural analgesia have revolutionized obstetric pain relief⁶.But most of modern obstetric analgesia practices involve participation of expert anesthesiologists, costly equipment, and continuous monitoring facilities which unfortunately cannot be available in routine obstetric practice in the developing countries where a majority of obstetric services are in the hands of midwives, trained nurses, and non-specialist doctors. In such situations, a method with minimum technicality is desired. Paracetamol, the mode of analgesic action of which has still not been fully elucidated but probably is a centrally acting drug which inhibits prostaglandin synthesis, has recently been made available as intravenous preparation. Various studies have proved intravenous paracetamol as effective analgesic

agent which is safe, effective, inexpensive, and requires no special monitoring ^{7,8,9}. However, there are no significant trials regarding the analgesic effect of paracetmol on labor pain in women. If proved to be an effective analgesic agent in labor, paracetamol being inexpensive and simple to administer could be a boon for obstetric analgesia in developing countries. Only a few studies have documented the safety and efficacy of intravenous paracetamol as a labor analgesic ^{5,10}. Tramadol hydrochloride is a centrally acting opioid analgesic; intramuscular tramadol hydrochloride is commonly used in labor analgesia in developing countries as it is inexpensive, no special monitoring is required and it has been widely studied and proven for its safety and efficacy in labor analgesia^{11,12,13}.

METHODOLOGY

This was a prospective randomized, clinical, comparative observational study and this convenient sampling study protocol was developed at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, P.E.S. Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Kuppam and approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee (IHEC). A hundred parturients who presented in spontaneous labour were enrolled in the study after a written informed consent was obtained.

Inclusion criteria -

- 1. Primigravid and multiparous low risk parturients
- 2. Age between 18-35 years
- 3. Spontaneous onset of labour at term (37-42 weeks of gestation)
- 4. First stage of labour with cervical dilatation of 3-6cm
- 5. Single viable foetus
- 6. Cephalic presentation

Exclusion criteria -

- 1. Clinical evidence of cephalo-pelvic disproportion,
- 2. Malpresentation,
- 3. Multiple pregnancies,
- 4. Previously scarred uterus
- 5. Preterm labour,
- 6. Induced labour,
- 7. Antepartum haemorrhage,
- 8. Pregnancy induced hypertension,
- 9. History of drug allergy to paracetamol and tramadol
- 10. Previous history of liver disease

Parturients(n=100) who desired labour analgesia were randomly allocated into the study groups namely Paracetamol (n=50) and Tramadol (n= 50) groups. In women included in the study, a detailed history, general

physical examination, obstetric examination including vaginal examination where indicated, was done and all the required investigations carried out. Pain intensity was recorded by VISUAL ANALOGUE PAIN SCALE(VAPS) half an hour before administration of study drugs and after 1 hour and 3 hours of study drugs administration and then the same procedure was repeated after 3hrs if necessary.The VAPS score was divided into four parts: no pain (VAPS score 0), mild pain (VAPS score 0.1-3.9), moderate pain (VAPS score 4-6.9) and severe pain (VAPS score 7-10). The data was analyzed by un-paired t-test and chi-square test, and calculated by statistical software namely SPSS 18.0 and R environment version 3.2.2 ,which were used for the analysis of the data.

RESULTS

Table 1: Age distribution in the two participant groups						
Age in years	Paracetamol(%) Tramad		Tramado	l (%)	TOTAL(%)	
18-25 Years	39	78%	32	32%	71	(71%)
26-35Years	11	22%	18	36%	29	(29%)
TOTAL	50	100%	50	100%	100	(100)
Mean±SD	23.82± 2.04		24.48±2.40		24.15±2.24	

The study group comprised 100 subjects including primigravid and multiparous parturients. The mean age of patients in Paracetamol group was 23.82 ± 2.04 and in the Tramadol group was 24.48 ± 2.40 years which was comparable with each other (p>0.05)

Table 2: Mean gestational age (weeks) in the two participant groups				
Gestation Age(weeks)	INJ. PARACETAMOL	INJ. TRAMADOL	TOTAL	
37-39 weeks	25(50%)	30 (60%)	55 (55%)	
39-40 weeks	24 (48%)	19 (38%)	43 (43%)	
40+weeks	1 (2%)	1(2%)	2 (2 %)	
Total	50 (100%)	50 (100%)	100 (100%)	
Mean ±SD	39.38 ± 0.77	39.26 ± 0.77	39.32±0.77	

Gestational ages of the patients varied between 37-41 weeks in the Paracetamol and Tramadol groups with mean gestational age of 39.38 ± 0.77 and 39.26 ± 0.77 weeks respectively and with mean gestational age of 39.32 ± 0.77 weeks. This was comparable (p>0.05)

Table 3: Number of doses of medicine given in the study groups					
No of doses repeated	Paracetamol	Tramadol	Total		
Single dose	49 (98%)	47(94%)	96 (96%)		
Two doses	01(02%)	03(0 6 %)	04 (04%)		
Total	50 (100%)	50 (100%)	100 (100%)		
Pearson chi2(1)= 1.0417 , pr = 0.307					

The no. of doses of medicine required in both the groups was comparable (X2=1.0417, p>0.05)

fable 4: Visual analogue pain sca	e (VAPS) 1 hr after the drug	administration in the study groups
-----------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------------

0 1 7	0		10
VAPS 1 hr after drug Administration	Paracetamol	Tramadol	Total
4	4(8%)	2(4%)	6(6%)
5	27(54%)	14(28 %)	41(41%)
6	19(38%)	28(56%)	47(47%)
7	0(0%)	6(12%)	6(6%)
Total	50(100%)	50 (100%)	100(100%)
Poarson chi2 (1) = 12 E120 $pr = 0.006$			

Pearson chi2 (1) = 12.5120, pr = 0.006

Visual analogue pain scale (VAPS) 1 hr after the drug administration in study group p value was 0.006 ;it was statistically significant. Pain was reduced significantly after 1hr of drug administration in the Paracetamol group than in Tramadol group.

VAPS 3 hr after drug Administration	Paracetamol	Tramadol	Total
4	32(82.05%)	15(68.18%)	47(77.05%)
5	5(12.82%)	4(18 .18%)	9(14.75%)
6	1(2.56%)	3(13.64%)	4(6.56%)
7	1(2.56%)	0(0%)	1(1.64%)
Total	39(100%)	22 (100%)	61(100%)

Table 5: Visual analogue pain scale (VAPS) 3 hr after the drug administration in the study groups

Visual analogue pain scale (VAPS) 3hr after the drug administration in study group, p value was 0.282; it was statistically not significant.

DISCUSSION

Childbirth is an important experience in a woman's life;¹⁷ nevertheless, labor pain was considered to be one of the most intense and stressful experiences,¹⁸ being compared in intensity to severe cancer pain or pain from the amputation of a digit.^{18,19} Adequate analgesia during labor has a positive influence on the course of labor,¹⁹ and most women who deliver in modern obstetric units request some form of pharmacological or nonpharmacological pain relief.²⁰ In our study the study groups comprising 100 subjects including primigravid and multiparous parturients, the mean age of patients in Paracetamol group was 23.82±2.04 and in the Tramadol group was 24.48±2.40 years which was comparable with each other (p>0.05). Gestational age of the patients varied between 37-41 weeks in the Paracetamol and Tramadol groups with mean gestational age of 39.38 ± 0.77 and 39.26 ± 0.77 weeks respectively-this was comparable (p>0.05) The number of doses of medicine required in both the groups was comparable (X2=1.0417,P>0.05). Visual analogue pain scale (VAPS) 1 hr after the drug administration in study group had a 'p' value of 0.006; which was statistically significant. Pain was reduced significantly after 1hr of drug administration in the Paracetamol group as compared to the Tramadol group. Visual analogue pain scale (VAPS) 3 hours after the drug administration in study groups, (p value 0.282) was statistically not significant. These findings are similar to Hema Mohan²¹et al and Bishnu Prasad²² Das et al.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from our study that the pain after 1 hour of Paracetmol administration reduced significantly by VAPS as compared with Tramadol and hence injectable Paracetamol should be preferred over Injectable Tramadol as the latter is also known to have more complications. However more studies need to be undertaken for its wider use in labour analgesia.

REFERENCES

- Committee on Obstetrics Maternal and Fetal Medicine: Pain Relief During Labor. Washington, DC, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists,1993, Committee Opinion No 118
- Sunil T Pandya, Labour analgesia, Recent advances.Indian Journal of Anaesthesia -January 22, 2017, IP: 122.252.230.34
- 3. Dipti Agrawal, Bela Makhija, Manjeet Arora, Arpana Haritwal, Pavan Gurha, The Effect of Epidural Analgesia on Labour, Mode of Delivery and Neonatal Outcome in Nullipara of India, 2011-2014.10.7860/JCDR/2014/9974.4930.
- 4. Silva M, Halpern SH. Epidural analgesia for labour, Current techniques. Local and Regional Anesthesia. 2010;3:143–53.
- Abdollahi MH, Mojibian M, Pishgahi A, Mallah F, Dareshiri S, Mohammadi S, Intravenous paracetamol versus intramuscular pethidine in relief of labor pain in primigravida women. Niger Med J. 2014;54:55-7.
- 6. Pandya ST, Labour analgesia: recent advances. Indian J Anaesth.2010;54(5):400–8.
- Togrul T, Yildrim ZB, Cengiz M, *et al.* Comparison of intravenous paracetamol and tramadol for postoperative analgesia in patients with septo-rhinoplasty. Anest Derg. 2011;19(4):213–6.
- Sinatra RS, Jahr JS, Reynolds LW, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of single and repeated administration of 1 gram intravenous acetaminophen injection (paracetamol) for pain management after major orthopedic surgery. Anesthesiology. 2005;102:822–31.
- Arslan M, Celep B, C, ic, ek R, et al. Comparing the efficacy of preemptive intravenous paracetamol on the reducing effect of opioid usage in cholecystectomy. J Res Med Sci. 2013;18:172–
- Elbohoty AE, Abd-Elrazek H, Abd-El-Gawad M, *et al.* Intravenous infusion of paracetamol versus intravenous pethidine as an intrapartum analgesic in the first stage of labor. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2012;118:7–10
- Jaitley A, Singh S, Srivastava U, *et al.* A comparison between epidural and IV tramadol for painless labor and effect on perinatal outcome. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2011;61:42–7.
- Nagaria T, Achaya J. Pain relief in labour-tramadol versus pentazocine. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2006;56(5):406–9.
- 13. Patil S, Somashekara SC, Goud GK, *et al.* Tramadol analgesia in labour. Int J Pharm Biomed Res. 2012;3(1):49–51.
- Thakur R, Patidar R. Comparative study of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and tramadol hydrochloride for pain relief in labor. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2004;54:346–50.
- Long J, Yue Y. Patient controlled intravenous analgesia with tramadol for labor pain relief. Chin Med J (Engl). 2003;116: 1752–5.

- 16. Kellow SY, Ali SMM. Effect of pethidine versus tramadol on labour pain in primiparous women. JSMC. 2012;2:17–22.
- 17. Goodman P, Mackey C, Tavakoli AS. Factors related to childbirth satisfaction. J Adv Nurs 2004; 46: 212–219.
- Melzack R. Labor pain as a model of acute pain. Pain 1993; 53: 117–120.
- Keskin HL, Aktepe Keskin E, Avsar AF, Tabuk M, Caglar GS. Pethidine versus tramadol for pain relief during labor.Int JGynecol Obstet 2003;82: 11–16.
- 20. Thurlow JA, Laxton CH, Dick A, Waterhouse P, Sherman L, Goodman NM. Remifentanil by patient controlled analgesia

compared with intra muscular meperidine for pain relief in labor. Br J Anesth 2002; 88: 347–348.

- 21. Hema Mohan, Rekha Ramappa, Sandesh M., Akash B. K.Intravenous paracetamol infusion versus intramuscular tramadol as an intrapartum labor analgesic,2015, DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20151212
- 22. Bishnu Prasad Das, Javed Ali, Ankita Baruah, Comparative Study between Intravenous Paracetamol and Intramuscular Tramadol as Labour Analgesic, Volume 5 Issue 10, October 2016, Paper ID: ART20162505, DOI: 10.21275/ART20162505

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared

