

Comparative study of liver test, Haemoglobin and plasma glucose levels between alcoholic and normal individuals

Bathina Girish

Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Government Medical College and hospital, Vijayawada – 520008, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA.

Email: bathinagirish@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Alcohol abuse is a global problem which affects families and society as a whole apart from liver functions it impairs insulin and cardiac structure and functions. **Methods:** 50 (fifty) alcoholic aged between 25 to 65 years were studied and compared with controlled group. Each patient underwent anthropometric, Blood pressure Hb%, FBS, PPBS, S. Bilirubin and significant parameters were noted. **Results:** Hypertensive patients were 11 (22%) in alcoholics, 3 (6%) in controlled. In alcoholics, 2 (4%) dyslipidemia, 2 (4%) prolapsed of inter vertebral disc, 3 (6%) were obese height of alcoholic was 164.3 (SD±5.82) t test was 3.13 p<0.001 weight of the alcohol was 58.3 (SD±2.2) and 56.8 (SD±4.30 in controlled group t test was 2.19 p<0.01. BMR and BSA were insignificant. In the Bio-chemical analysis, In alcoholic Hb% was 13.2 (SD±1.6), 3.9 (SD±0.7) in controlled, t test was -2.83 and p<0.00. In alcoholics PPBS was 117.6 (SD±11.5) 121.4 (SD±10.10 t test -1.75 p<0.04. In the comparison of liver function test, In alcoholics S. Bilirubin was 1.3 (SD±1.2) and 0.8 (SD±0.2) t test 2.90, p<0.001, In alcoholics, AST level was 80.1 (SD±40.2) and in controlled 27.1 (SD±5.5) t test -9.2 p<0.00. In alcoholics ALT level was 81.2 (SD±36.2) and 29.2 (SD±8.2) in controlled t test 9.8 p<0.01. **Conclusion:** This perspective study will help the physician, cardiologist and endocrinologist to predict the prognosis and treat efficiently to avoid the risk of morbidity and mortality.

Keywords: DM=Diabetes Mellitus, HTN=Hypertension, FBS=Fasting Blood, PPBS=post parandial Blood Sugar, BSA=Body Surface Area, BMI=Body Mass Index.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Bathina Girish, 9-60-73, Avala hospital, Kothapet Ganapati Rao Road, Vijayawada-520001, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA.

Email: bathinagirish@gmail.com

Received Date: 02/06/2020 Revised Date: 11/07/2020 Accepted Date: 18/08/2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26611/10211619>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). 

Access this article online

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Quick Response Code: | Website: www.medpulse.in |
|  | Accessed Date: 24 October 2020 |

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol is known for hepato-toxic, commonly consumed globally leads multiple hepatic complications. Including cirrhosis fibrosis hepatic failure etc. Apart from these effects of alcohol on the insulin – glucose axis can't be ignored¹. Acutely alcohol impairs insulin sensitivity in

chronic alcoholics² especially adiposity³ and atherosclerosis but it has negative correlation with BMI with alcohol intake. Excessive alcohol consumption is also associated with alcoholic cardio myopathy characterised by enlargement of heart, increased left ventricular mass ventricular dysfunction mainly in DM.2 patients⁴. Moreover alcohol intake has been associated with hypertension which also contributes to alteration of cardiac structure and function ultimately leads risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) and risk of heart failure (HF). Hence attempt was made to evaluate the various parameter of LFT, HTN and anthropometric to rule out the severity in alcoholics.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

50 (fifty patients) alcoholic patients aged between 25 to 65 years admitted at Shahdan Medical College hospital peeranchuruvu, Hyderabad, Telangana-500086.

Inclusive Criteria: 50 patients of chronic alcohol. Majority of them were Binge drunkards (as per the DSM – IV criteria) were selected for studied.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients having history of cardiac or rheumatic IHD, congenital heart disease, Diabetic mellitus, smokers tobacco chewers, patients getting anti-depressants, HIV positive were excluded from the study.

Procedure: The same number healthy of volunteers (controlled groups) was also selected for study Majority of the patients belonged to middle socio-economic status. Every patient underwent detailed clinical examination, anthropometric measurements. Blood pressure, Haemoglobin FBS, PPBS, Serum Bilimbin AST and ALT. Blood pressure was recorded by spignomano metre early in the morning to get ideal parameters. Weight was measured in Digital Weighing machine, BMI was also measured in every patients (Normal BMI – 18.5 to 22.9 over weight BMI-23-24.9, Obesity BMI was ≥ 25 kg/m²) venous blood was collected from both group for Bio-chemical analysis.

The duration of study was from July-2017 to December-2018.

Statistical analysis: was carried in SPSS software to compare the parameters in both alcoholic and controlled groups. The ratio of male and females was 2:1.

This research paper was approved by ethical committee of Government medical college and hospital Vijaywada-520008 (Andhra Pradesh)

OBSERVATION AND RESULTS

Table-1: In the base line manifestation of both alcoholic and controlled group 11 (22%) alcoholic and 3 (6%) hypertensive were observed, 2 (4%) Dyslipidemia, 2 (4%) prolapsed of inter vertebral disc, 3 (6%) obesity was observed only in alcoholic group.

Table-2: In the study of anthropological parameters height of alcoholic was 164.3 (SD \pm 5.82) and 160.2 (SD \pm 7.2) in controlled group t test value was 3.13 and p<0.01 (p value was highly significant)

58.3 (SD \pm 2.2) was the weight (grams) of in alcoholic, 56.8 (SD \pm 2.19) in controlled group, t test value was 2.19 and p<0.01 (p value was highly significant)

BMI (kg/m²), BSA (in m²) were insignificant.

Table-3: Comparison of Bio-chemical analysis 13.2 (SD \pm 1.6) haemoglobin in alcoholic and 13.9 (SD \pm 0.7) in controlled group t test value was -2.83 and p<0.00 (p value was highly significant).

In PPBS – 117.6 (SD \pm 11.5) in alcoholic 121.4 (SD \pm 10.1) t test value 1.75, p<0.04 p value was significant.

FBS values in both groups were found to be insignificant.

Table-4: In the comparative study of serum Bilirubin AST, and ALT (Liver function test parameters)

Serum Bilirubin – 1.3 (SD \pm 1.2) in alcoholics, Bilirubin level 0.8 (SD \pm 0.2) t test value was 2.90 and p<0.01, p value was highly significant. AST level was 80.1 (SD \pm 40.2) in alcoholics and 27.1 (SD \pm 5.5) in controlled group t test value was 9.2, p<0.000 (P value was highly significant),

ALT parameters in alcoholics was 81.2 (SD \pm 36.3) and 29.2 (SD \pm 8.2) in controlled group t test value was 9.8 and p<0.00 (P value was highly significant)

Table 1: Base line manifestations in both alcoholic and controlled group

| Sl. No | Particulars | Alcoholics (50) Number with % | Controlled (50) Number with % |
|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Hypertension | 11 (22%) | 3 (6%) |
| 2 | Dyslipidemia | 2 (4%) | -- |
| 3 | Prolapsed of inter vertebral disc. | 2 (4%) | -- |
| 4 | Obesity | 3 (6%) | -- |

Table 2: Study of Anthropological parameters in both alcoholic and controlled group

| Particulars | Alcoholic group (mean value) | Controlled group (mean value) | T Value | P Value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Height (cm) | 164.3 (SD \pm 5.82) | 160.2 (SD \pm 7.2) | 3.13 | P<0.001 |
| Weight | 58.3 (SD \pm 2.2) | 56.8 (SD \pm 4.30) | 2.19 | P<0.001 |
| BMI kg/m ² | 21.6 (SD \pm 2.10) | 22.5 (SD \pm 2.7) | 1.86 | P<0.06 NS |
| BSA (in m ²) | 1.72 (SD \pm 0.2) | 1.70 (SD \pm 0.7) | | P<0.4 NS |

(NS = Not significant)

Table 3: Comparison of Bio-chemical analysis in both groups

| Particulars | Alcoholics group (50) Mean value | Controlled group (50) Mean value | T test value | P Value |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Haemoglobin | 13.2 (SD±1.6) | 13.9 (SD±0.7) | -2.83 | P<0.00 |
| FBS | 86.2 (SD±12.6) | 84.2 (SD±10.1) | 0.80 | P<0.3 (NS) |
| PPBS | 117.6 (SD±11.5) | 121.4 (SD±10.1) | 1.75 | P<0.04 |

Table 4: Comparative study of serum Bilirubin AST and ALT (Liver function test parameters)

| Particulars | Alcoholic group (50) mean value | Controlled group (50) mean value | t test value | P value |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Serum Bilirubin | 1.3 (SD±1.2) | 0.8 (SD±0.2) | 2.90 | P<0.01 |
| AST | 80.1 (SD±40.2) | 27.1 (SD±5.5) | 9.2 | P<0.00 |
| ALT | 81.2 (SD±36.3) | 29.2 (SD±8.2) | 9.8 | P<0.00 |

DISCUSSION

In the Present study of liver function test haemoglobin and plasma glucose between alcoholic and normal in 11 (22%) of alcoholics, 3 (6%) in controlled group, 2 (4%) of dyslipidemia 2 (4%) of prolapsed of Inter-vertebral disc, 3 (6%) obesity in alcoholics (Table-1), Mean value of height in alcoholics was 164.3 (SD±5.82) and 160.2 (SD±7.2) in controlled t test 3.13 and p<0.01 mean value of weight in alcoholics was 58.3 (SD±2.2), 56.8 (SD±4.3) in controlled t test 2.19, p<0.01, BMI and BSA values remained insignificant (Table-2). In comparison of Bio-chemical analysis Hb% was 13.2 (SD±1.6) in alcoholics 13.9 (SD±0.7) in controlled t test -2.83 p<0.00 mean value of PPBS ion alcoholics was 117.6 (SD±11.5) 121.4 (SD±10.1) t test 1.75 p<0.04 (highly significant). (Table-3). In the comparative study of liver function test Mean value serum Bilirubin in alcoholics was 1.3 (SD±1.2) 0.8 (SD±0.2) in controlled t test 2.90 and p<0.01 (highly significant). Mean value of AST in alcoholics was 80.1 (SD±36.3), 29.2 (SD±8.2) in controlled t test 9.8, p<0.000 (p value is highly significant) (Table-4). These findings are more or less in agreement with previous studies^{6,7,8}. Alcohol is also known dose dependent cardiac toxin but myocardial damage may be consequence of direct toxic effects of alcohol or its metabolites by ethanol induced apoptosis associated hypertension⁹. Alcoholic cardiomyopathy is character by enlargement of heart increased LV mass and ventricular dysfunction. It is reported that, moderate to heavy alcoholics subjects would result in reduced insulin sensitivity which leads to hyperglycemias¹⁰ and reduce the influence of hepatic enzyme induction Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) particularly cirrhosis has been one of the most prevalent and devastating conditions caused by alcohol consumption and one of the leading causes of

alcohol related death. The pathogenesis of ALD is multi factorial. Toxic metabolites can damage key liver cells (hepatocytes and parenchyma) cells which is irreversible process. In addition to its direct effect on the liver, alcohol can increase the “leakiness” of the intestine cell wall allowing a harmful component of gram negative. Bacteria called endotoxin to pass more readily into the blood. The body responds to this increase in endotoxin levels by launching a coordinated immune response marked by activation of immune cells residing in the liver (ie kuffer cells). When activated kuffer cells secrete a variety of cytokines, including tumour necrosis factor (TNF) X and several types of interleukins (ILS)¹¹. Cytokines also can have damaging roles, however when produced in excess amounts pushing the immune system response into overdrive and as a result promoting the progression of liver disease.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The present comparative study of alcoholics and non-alcoholics us key factor to study the alcohol – related disease like steatosis, alcoholic hepatitis, cirrhosis, cardiovascular diseases, metabolic disorders. Hence this study demands further, nutritional, pharmacological, molecular biological, patho-physiological studies because exact pathogenesis of alcoholics’ toxicity is still-unclear.

REFERENCES

1. YKi - Jarvimen H, Nikhila EA – Ethanol decreases glucose utilization in healthy man. J. Clin Endocrinol metab 1985, 61, 941-945.
2. Hodge AM, Dowse KM – Abnormal glucose tolerance and alcohol consumption in three populations at high risk of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus Am J. Epidemiol 1993, 137, 178-189.

3. Facchini F, Chen Y D – light to moderate alcohol drinking is associated with enhanced insulin sensitivity Diabetes care 1994, 17, 115-119.
4. Mathews E.C Jr, Gardin JM – Echocardiography abnormalities in the chronic alcoholics with and without overt congestive heart failure Am. J. of cardiol 1981, 47, 570-578.
5. Walsh CR, Larson MG – Alcohol consumption and risk for congestive heart failure in the Framingham heart study. Annals of Int. Med. 2002, 136, 181-191.
6. European Association for the study of the liver EASL Clinical practice guide lines management of alcoholic liver disease J. hepatol 2012, 57 (2), 399-400.
7. World Health organisation Facts sheet: global status report on alcohol and health 2014 edition.
<http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665+112736> viewed on august 12 – 2017.
8. Dichi AM – liver disease in alcohol abusers clinical perspective. Alcohol 2002, 27 (1), 7-11.
9. Fernandex Sola J, Fatjio F – Evidences of apoptosis pathology 2006, 37, 1100-1110.
10. Holbrook TL, Barret-connor E – A prospective population based study of alcohol use and non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, Am J. Epidemiol, 1990,132, 902-909.
11. Enomoto N, Ikejmak – Role of Kuffer cells and gut derived endotoxins in alcoholic liver injury J. of Gastroenterology and hepatology 2000, 15, 302.5.

Source of Support: None Declared
Conflict of Interest: None Declared

Policy for Articles with Open Access:

Authors who publish with MedPulse International Journal of Medicine, Print ISSN: 2550-7583, Online ISSN: 2636-4751 agree to the following terms: Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.

Authors are permitted and encouraged to post links to their work online (e.g., in institutional repositories or on their website) prior to and during the submission process, as it can lead to productive exchanges, as well as earlier and greater citation of published work.

