

A study of lipid profile in patients with metabolic syndrome at a tertiary health care centre

Ramesh H Kamath¹, M S Rao Nayakar Barre^{2*}

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bangalore, Karnataka, INDIA.

²Assistant Professor, Department of General Medicine, Konaseema Institute of Medical Sciences, Amlapuram, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA.

Email: barrenayakar92@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Diabetes mellitus (DM), a metabolic disorder caused by impaired insulin secretion, peripheral insulin resistance or both, has become a major public health problem in India. **Aims and Objectives:** To Study the lipid profile in patients with Metabolic Syndrome at a tertiary health care centre during a one year period i.e. January 2017 to January 2018. Patients diagnosed with Metabolic syndrome were identified and randomly selected in all age groups. Within the 1 year 50 metabolic syndrome patients (Cases) and age matched 50 normal patients (Controls) were enrolled into the study with written explained consent. All patients underwent lipid profile testing and fasting and post -prandial sugar level as per standard protocol. Statistical analysis was done with unpaired t-test and chi-square test using SPSS version 19 software. **Result:** In our study we saw that the majority of the patients were in the age group 61-70y – 42%, 50-60y.28%, >70y 10% similarly controls were also 60-70y- 38%, 50-60y -26%, >70y were 8% and hence both the cases and controls were comparable with age (p>0.05). The majority of the patients were male in both groups and male/ female ratios in the both cases and controls were comparable with each other (p>0.05). The mean FBS was significantly higher in cases than control i.e. 168.52±32.23 and 87.65±11.89 (<0.001**), The PPBS mg/dl was 290.23±41.24, 129.62±15.82 (<0.001**). The Total cholesterol mg/dl i.e.210.13±21.78, 192.54±16.94(<0.001**); LDL mg/dl 129.43±12.43, 101.45±12.87 (p<0.01**); Triglyceride mg/dl-230.42±38.82,142.17±34.52(<0.01**);VLDLmg/dl51. 24±6.72,33.23±3.59 (p<0.001**) was significantly higher in cases as compared to controls and HDL mg/dl was 33.17±7.23 , 45.62±4.52 (p<0.01**) was significantly lesser than controls. **Conclusion:** It can be concluded from our study that the all patients with metabolic syndrome were having the deranged lipid profile level and that metabolic syndrome was more prevalent in the older age groups and in male patients.

Key Word: Metabolic Syndrome, Type II diabetes, Lipid Profile.

* Address for Correspondence:

Dr. M S Rao Nayakar Barre, Assistant Professor, Department of General Medicine, Konaseema Institute of Medical Sciences, Amlapuram, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA.

Email: barrenayakar92@gmail.com

Received Date: 02/10/2018 Revised Date: 30/10/2018 Accepted Date: 17/11/2018

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26611/1021827>

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.medpulse.in

Accessed Date:
20 November 2018

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) has become a major public health problem in India. This is a metabolic disorder caused by impaired insulin secretion, peripheral insulin resistance or

both. It is characterized by raised blood glucose with diminished uptake and metabolism of cellular glucose as well as altered lipid and protein metabolism.¹ Diabetes not only increases morbidity and mortality, but also decreases the quality of life. Also, this disease and its complications are causing a heavy economic burden for patients suffering from it.^{2,3} A clustering of cardiovascular risk factors that appeared in certain patients was identified as syndrome X by Reaven in 1988. The risk factors identified by Reaven included glucose intolerance, hypertension, elevated triglyceride and low high density lipoprotein cholesterol.⁴ In 1998 the WHO proposed a definition for metabolic syndrome (MS) that included the presence of hypertension, dyslipidemia, glucose intolerance and microalbuminuria.⁵ The National

How to cite this article: Ramesh H Kamath, M S Rao Nayakar Barre A. A study of lipid profile in patients with metabolic syndrome at a tertiary health care centre. *MedPulse International Journal of Medicine*. November 2018; 8(2): 120-122.
<https://www.medpulse.in/Medicine/>

Cholesterol Educational Program's Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) proposed a new definition which utilized components that were typically measured in these patients (Blood pressure, lipids and glucose) or could be easily measured in clinical practice (waist circumference).⁶ There is an alarmingly high prevalence of diabetes and hypertension in the Indian population which has been attributed to metabolic syndrome. Several studies have shown a high incidence of this syndrome in Indians compared to Western population. ATP III considered the obesity epidemic is mainly responsible for the rising prevalence of metabolic syndrome. Obesity contributes to hypertension, high serum cholesterol, low HDL, and hyperglycaemia and it is otherwise associated with high cardiovascular disease risk.^{7,8} Women tend to have fewer cardiovascular events, the population-attributable risk for hypertension is higher for women than men due to their longer life expectancy and the rise in the incidence of hypertension with age.⁹ Advancing age affects all levels of pathogenesis which likely explains why the prevalence of MS increases with age.¹⁰

METHODOLOGY

This was a cross-sectional study carried out in the Department of Medicine of a tertiary health care centre during the 1 year period - January 2017 to January 2018 in patients with Metabolic syndrome. Metabolic syndrome has been defined as a cluster of conditions increased blood pressure, high blood sugar, excess body fat around the waist, and abnormal cholesterol or triglyceride levels that occur together. The criteria that we used¹¹ for diagnosing metabolic syndrome (three or more of the risk factors) were according to the National Cholesterol Educational Program's ATP III 5 criteria. Risk factor defining levels (1) Abdominal obesity Waist circumference Men >102 cm (40 inches) Women > 88 cm (35 inches) (2) TG \geq 150 mg/dl (3) HDL-C Men 130/>85 mmHg (5) Fasting glucose \geq 110 mg/dl. Patients of Metabolic syndrome thus identified were randomly selected in all ages to obtain 50 (Cases) and age matching 50 normal patients (Controls). These were enrolled into the study after written explained consent. All patients underwent lipid profile test and Fasting and Post -prandial Sugar level as per the standard protocols. The statistical analysis was done using unpaired t-test and chi-square test calculated by SPSS 19 version software.

RESULT

Table 1: Age distribution of patients studied

Age in years	Cases		Controls	
	No	%	No	%
20-30	3	6	5	8
40-50	5	10	8	14
50-60	14	28	14	26
60-70	21	42	19	38
>70	7	14	4	8
Total	50	100.0	50	100.0

The majority of the patients were in the age of 61-70 – 42%, followed by 50-60 i.e.28% , >70 were 10% .Similarly controls were also 60-70- 38%, 50-60 -26%, >70 were 8% and hence both the cases and controls were comparable with age ($p>0.05$)

Table 2: Gender distribution of patients studied

Gender	Cases		Controls	
	No	%	No	%
Male	29	58	31	62
Female	21	42	19	38
Total	50	100.0	50	100.0

The majority of the patients were Male in both groups and male female composition in the both cases and controls were comparable with each other ($p>0.05$)

Table 3: Comparison of sugar parameters in two groups of patients studied

Sugar parameters	Cases	Controls	P value
FBS mg/dl	168.52 \pm 32.23	87.65 \pm 11.89	<0.001**
PPBS mg/dl	290.23 \pm 41.24	129.62 \pm 15.82	<0.001**

The mean FBS was significantly higher in cases than control i.e. 168.52 \pm 32.23 and 87.65 \pm 11.89 (<0.001**), The PPBS mg/dl was 290.23 \pm 41.24, 129.62 \pm 15.82 (<0.001**).

Table 4: Comparison of lipid parameters in two groups of patients studied

Lipid parameters	Cases	Controls	P value
Total cholesterol mg/dl	210.13 \pm 21.78	192.54 \pm 16.94	<0.001**
LDL mg/dl	129.43 \pm 12.43	101.45 \pm 12.87	<0.01**
Triglyceride mg/dl	230.42 \pm 38.82	142.17 \pm 34.52	<0.01**
HDL mg/dl	33.17 \pm 7.23	45.62 \pm 4.52	<0.01**
VLDL mg/dl	51.24 \pm 6.72	33.23 \pm 3.59	<0.001**

The Total cholesterol mg/dl.e.210.13 \pm 21.78, 192.54 \pm 16.94 (<0.001**); LDL mg/dl 129.43 \pm 12.43, 101.45 \pm 12.87) ($p<0.01$ **);Triglyceridemg/dl-230.42 \pm 38.82,142.17 \pm 34.52(<0.01**);VLDLmg/dl51.24 \pm 6.72,33.23 \pm 3.59 ($p<0.001$ **)) was significantly higher in cases as compared to controls and HDL mg/dl was 33.17 \pm 7.23, 45.62 \pm 4.52 ($p<0.01$ **)) was significantly lesser than controls.

DISCUSSION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus accounts for more than 90% of cases of diabetes mellitus, leading to major public health burden in community. Dyslipidemia is one of the important metabolic abnormalities related with diabetes mellitus. Present study was carried to assess the prevalence and pattern of dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. In the present study it was observed that type 2 diabetic patients had poor glycemic control which was reflected by higher values of fasting and post-prandial blood sugar¹². In our study we have seen that the majority of the patients were in the age of 61-70 – 42%, followed by 50-60 i.e.28% , >70 were 10% similarly controls were also 60-70- 38%, 50-60 -26%, >70 were 8% and hence both the cases and controls were comparable with age ($p>0.05$) The majority of the patients were Male in both groups and male female composition in the both cases and controls were comparable with each other ($p>0.05$) . The mean FBS was significantly higher in cases than control i.e. 168.52 ± 32.23 and 87.65 ± 11.89 ($<0.001^{**}$), The PPBS mg/dl was 290.23 ± 41.24 , 129.62 ± 15.82 ($<0.001^{**}$). The Total cholesterol mg/dl.e. 210.13 ± 21.78 , 192.54 ± 16.94 ($<0.001^{**}$); LDL mg/dl 129.43 ± 12.43 , 101.45 ± 12.87 ($p<0.01^{**}$); Triglyceride mg/dl- 230.42 ± 38.82 , 142.17 ± 34.52 ($<0.01^{**}$); VLDL mg/dl 51.24 ± 6.72 , 33.23 ± 3.59 ($p<0.001^{**}$) was significantly higher in cases as compared to controls and HDL mg/dl was 33.17 ± 7.23 , 45.62 ± 4.52 ($p<0.01^{**}$) was significantly lesser than controls. These findings are similar to Pankaj J. Akholkar *et al*¹³ they found abnormal triglyceride level in 32% (n=69) and abnormal FBS 34% (n=65). Amongst all females 92.4% (n=98) had an abnormal HDL-C levels followed by an abnormal waist circumference in 52.38% (n=55). Incidence of abnormal FBS and TG in females were 30.5% (n=33) and 32.4% (n=35) respectively.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from our study that all patients with metabolic syndrome were having a significantly deranged lipid profile level and that metabolic syndrome was more prevalent in older age groups and in male patients.

REFERENCES

1. Sheshiah V, Balaji V. A handbook on Diabetes Mellitus. 6th ed. New Delhi: all india publishers and distributors. 2013:29-54.
2. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus, Diabetes Care. 2011;34:62-9.
3. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C. Current scenario of diabetes in India, J. Diabetes. 2009; 1(1):18-8.
4. Reaven GM. Bunting lecture 1988, Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes. 1988; 37: 1595-607.
5. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome; a new world-wide definition. A consensus statement from the international Diabetes federation. Diabet Med. 2006; 23: 469-80.
6. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). J Am Med Assoc. 2001; 258: 2486-97.
7. Pouliot MC, Despres JP, Nadeau A, Moorjani S, Prud Homme D, Lupien PJ, *et al*. Visceral obesity in men. Association with glucose tolerance, plasma insulin and lipoprotein levels. Diabetes. 1992; 41: 826-34.
8. Despres JP. Health consequences of visceral obesity. Ann Med. 2001;33:534-41.
9. Braunwald E. Hypertension. In: Douglas P. Zipes, Peter Libby, Robert O. Bonow, Eugene Braunwald, eds. Braunwald's Heart Disease: a Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2005: 1953.
10. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults. Findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA. 2002;287:356-9.
11. Metabolic syndrome. Accessed online at : October 2018 : available at ; <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/metabolic-syndrome/symptoms-causes/syc-20351916> . 12
12. Amod L. Borle, Neeraj Chhari, Girjesh Gupta. Study of prevalence and pattern of dyslipidaemia in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending rural health training centre of medical college in Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India. International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health Borle *et al*. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2016 Jan;3(1):140-144
13. Pankaj J. Akholkar, Amita A. Gandhi, Chirag M. Shah. The metabolic syndrome among hypertensive patients: a cross-sectional study. International Journal of Advances in Medicine Akholkar PJ *et al*. Int J Adv Med. 2015 Aug; 2(3):188-191

Source of Support: None Declared
Conflict of Interest: None Declared