Refractive errors among school children of Aurangabad city of Maharashtra: A cross-sectional study

Archana A Vare¹, Amarnath V Awargaonkar^{2*}, Varsha S Nandedkar³, Deepali Bonde⁴, Amruta Jiwane⁴, Sagar Janrao⁴

¹Associate Professor, ²Assistant Professor, ³Professor and HOD, ⁴Junior Resident, Department of Ophthalmology, Govt Medical College, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, INDIA. **Email:** <u>amar.awargaonkar@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Background: Refractive errors during school years can have an adverse effect on not only the educational performance but also the overall personality of the child. Identification and proper management of errors of refraction would go a long way in enhancing the quality of life among the school going children. This cross-sectional study was done to assess the refractive errors among school going children of Aurangabad city of Maharashtra. Methods: This cross-sectional study was done at Aurangabad during 2017 -2018. School children between 6 to 15 years of age studying in government schools of the city were included. Sample size was 2000 children. In a well illuminated class room, Snellen's chart in English and Marathi was used to test distant vision based on student preference. Children who could not read were assessed by E charts and cross verified. The cut-off level of visual acuity to denote failure was fixed at less than or equal to 6/9 in either eye. Children having vision less than or equal to 6/9 were listed separately for refraction evaluation on next visit. Data was entered in Microsoft excel spreadsheet and analysis was done. Chi square test was done to assess statistical significance of study parameters. Results: The study comprised of 2000 students with 1060 males and 940 females. There were 125 children (6.25%) who were found to have refractive errors on ophthalmological examination. Of these 125 children, only 28 (22.4%) were previously known cases whereas 97 (77.6%) were new found cases. The age group of 13 to 15 years had the highest proportion of children with refractive errors among the studied population and the difference was statistically significant. Out of 125 cases with refractive error, 65 (52%) students had myopia, which was the most common refractive error, followed by 56 (44.8%) of astigmatism and only 4 (3.2%) students had hypermetropia. Amblyopia due to uncorrected refractive error (hypermetropia) was seen in 2 children. Conclusions: From study results it can be concluded that there was high number of students with undetected refractive errors among school children. There is a need for screening school students for refractive errors as it can impact their quality of life especially academic performance. Moreover it is an easily correctable disorder. Its early diagnosis and management can help in prevention of more serious visual problems in these promising children. Key Word: Myopia, Hypermetropia, Astigmatism.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Amarnath V Awargaonkar, Assistant Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Government Medical College, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: amar.awargaonkar@gmail.com Received Date: 12/09/2018 Revised Date: 04/10/2018 Accepted Date: 20/11/2018 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/1009828

 Access this article online

 Quick Response Code:
 Website:

 Website:
 Www.medpulse.in

 Accessed Date:
 28 November 2018

INTRODUCTION

Vision disorders related to refractive errors can be easily avoided by correction of the error of refraction at the earliest. Provision of the appropriate lenses for the refractive error correction is a very effective intervention with minimal costs. The vision 2020 initiative has included refractive errors among the category of 'childhood blindness' and also listed refraction error correction as an area of importance for achieving the objective of elimination of blindness due to avoidable

How to cite this article: Archana A Vare *et al.* Refractive errors among school children of Aurangabad city of Maharashtra: A crosssectional study. *MedPulse International Journal of Ophthalmology*. November 2018; 8(2): 54-58. https://www.medpulse.in/Ophthlmology/ causes.¹⁻⁴ Vision impairment during childhood and school years is considered more dangerous and disabling than adult onset vision disorders as it affects the formative years of life, moreover children often do not complain regarding the problem and adjust by sitting close to blackboard, holding the books near to eyes, squeezing the eyes and also develop a tendency to avoid work that requires visual concentration which may affect their performance potential.^{5,6} School going children represent a set of population in whom screening can help in early identification and management of refractive errors and hence WHO has also recommended the screening of school children for refractive errors.^{1,7} In this context, this cross-sectional study was done to assess the refractive errors among school going children of Aurangabad city of Maharashtra.

METHODS

The present cross-sectional study was done Aurangabad during 2017 -2018. School children between 6 to 15 years of age studying in government schools of the city were included. Sample size was 2000 children. In a well illuminated class room, Snellen's chart in English and Marathi was used to test distant vision based on student preference. Children who could not read were assessed by E charts and cross verified. The cut-off level of visual acuity to denote failure was fixed at less than or equal to 6/9 in either eye. Children having vision less than or equal to 6/9 were listed separately for refraction evaluation on next visit. All these children were assessed under the cycloplegic effect of 1% cyclopentolate, by streak retinoscopy, and the appropriate glasses were prescribed after one week by post mydriatic test. Children already wearing spectacles were also examined and change in power was noted. The visual acuity was tested with appropriate lenses inserted in a trial frame. Each eye was tested separately while an opaque disc was placed in other compartment of the frame, and then two were finally tested together. Alterations in spheres were tried first, and then the strength and axis of the cylindrical lens were verified. Examination of the fundus with direct ophthalmoscope was done and indirect ophthalmoscopy was done when needed. Data was entered in Microsoft excel spreadsheet and analysis was done. Chi square test was done to assess statistical significance of study parameters.

OBSERVATIONS

The study comprised of 2000 students with 1060 males and 940 females. There were 152 students with vision less than or equal to 6/9 on visual acuity testing by Snellen's chart of which 125 children (6.25%) were found to have refractive errors on ophthalmological examination. Of these 125 children, only 28 (22.4%) were previously known cases whereas 97 (77.6%) were new found cases. The age group of 13 to 15 years had the highest proportion of children with refractive errors among the studied population and the difference was statistically significant. Out of 125 cases with refractive error, 65 (52%) students had myopia, which was the most common refractive error, followed by 56 (44.8%) of astigmatism and only 4 (3.2%) students had hypermetropia. Males had comparatively more number of students with astigmatism whereas females had more number of students with Myopia. Amblyopia due to uncorrected refractive error (hypermetropia) was seen in 2 children. Table 1 to 9 describe the observations of the study.

•)	Table 1. Are Distribution of Students						
	Table 1: Age Distribution of Students						
	Age in Years	Frequency	Percentage				
	6 - 9	540	27				
	10 – 12	1080	54				
	13 – 15	380	19				
	Total	2000	100				
	Table 2: Gender Distribution of the Students						
	Gender F	requency	Percentage				
	Boys	1060	53				
	Girls	940	47				
	Total	2000	100				
	Table 3: Unaided Vi	isual Acuity ir	n Study Population				
	Visual Acuity	Frequency	Percentage				
	6/6	1848	92.4				
	6/9	43	02.15				
	6/12	36	1.8				
	6/18	24	1.2				
	6/24	34	1.7				
	6/36	14	0.7				
	6/60	1	0.05				
	Total	2000	100				
Та	ble 4: Children with D	Defective Visi	on in Study Population				
	Classification	Frequency	Percentage				
	6/6	1848	92.4				
	≤ 6/9	152	7.6				
	Total	2000	100				
	Table 5: Distribution	of Students \	with Refractive Error				
	On Examination	Frequer					
	Refractive Error	125	82.25				
	No Refractive Error	- 25	16.44				
	Amblyopia	2	1.31				
	Total	152	100				

Table 6: Previously Known Cases and Newly Diagnosed Cases of Refractive Error

Reflactive Endi			
Frequency	Percentage		
28	22.4		
97	77.6		
125	100		
	Frequency 28 97		

Table 7: Age Wise Distribution of Refractive Error Cases					
Age in	Refractive Error		Total	Age wise	
years	Present	Absent	TOLAI	prevalence	
6 – 9	24	504	528	4.54%	
10 – 12	64	1028	1092	5.8%	
13 – 15	37	343	380	9.73%	
Total	125	1875	2000	6.25%	
Chi square=10.79, df=2, p=0.004, statistically significant					

Table 8: Ag	e Wise Distribution	n of Type of Refractive Error Cases
-------------	---------------------	-------------------------------------

Age in years	Myopia	Hyperopia	Astigmatism with type	Total
6 – 9	10 (15.3%)	2 (50%)	12 (21.4%) [SMA -8, CMA-2, SHA-2]	24
10 - 12	30 (46.1%)	2 (50%)	32 (57.1%) [SMA -20, CMA- 10, SHA-2] 12 (21.4%)	64
13 - 15	25 (38.4%)		12 (21.4%) [SMA -6, CMA-4, SHA-2] 56	37
Total	65	4	56 [SMA -34, CMA- 16, SHA-6]	125

Table 9: Sex Wise Distribution of Type of Refractive Error Cases					
Sex	Myopia	Hyperopia	Astigmatism	Total	
Boys	25 (38.5%)	4 (100%)	32 (57.2%) [SMA -16, CMA-10, SHA-6]	<mark>6</mark> 1	
Girls	40 (61.5%)		24 (42.8%) [SMA -18, CMA-06, SHA-00]	64	
Total	65	4	56 [SMA -34, CMA-16, SHA-6]	125	
SMA: Simple Myonic Astignation CMA: Compound					

SMA: Simple Myopic Astigmatism, CMA: Compound Myopic Astigmatism SHA: Simple Hyperopic Astigmatism

DISCUSSION

In the present study, there were 125 children (6.25%) with refractive errors. Of these 125 children, only 28 (22.4%) were previously known cases whereas 97 (77.6%) were new found cases. Out of 125 cases with refractive error, 65 (52%) students had myopia, which was the most common refractive error, followed by 56 (44.8%) of astigmatism and only 4 (3.2%) students had hypermetropia. Amblyopia due to uncorrected refractive error (hypermetropia) was seen in 2 children. The age group of 13 to 15 years had the highest proportion of children with refractive errors among the studied population and the difference was statistically significant. Similar study on school children aged 10 to 15 years by Mehzabeen Rahman at Dibrugarh, Assam in 2013-14 found that 13 year old children had maximal prevalence (15.09%) of refractive errors followed by 11 year old children with prevalence of 13.64%. Also, maximal cases were newly found cases (42 out of 53) similar to our

study. However, they reported a significantly high prevalence of refractive errors among males as compared to females which is in contrast to our results where there is not much difference noted in prevalence of refractive errors among males and females. In their study, the prevalence of refractive errors was 8.8% and Myopia was the commonest error. Amblyopia was reported in 3 children (0.5%). They suggested early screening of school children for refractive errors to prevent amblyopia and blindness.⁸ In the Padhye AS et al study from Pune, Maharashtra which is near to our geographic region, the prevalence of refractive errors among urban school children was similar at 5.46%. An earlier study from Pune by Gupta R has also reported similar prevalence of 5.65%. Also, the commonest refractive error among urban children was Myopia as found in our study. They included the same age group of 6 to 15 years and observed higher prevalence of refractive errors among 13 to 15 years age group which is in line with our observations. Amblyopia was reported in 0.8% children from urban areas. The authors also recommended screening of school children with involvement of optometrists, teachers, general practitioners and school nurses. However, they suggested different approaches for urban and rural children based on differences observed among these two groups in relation to refractive errors distribution.^{1, 9} Pradhan N et al study on school children aged 6 - 12 years from Haryana reported a higher prevalence of 7% with Myopia again as the commonest error of refraction. They concluded that increasing use of technology in education like laptops, computers as well as for entertainment along with television viewing and mobiles maybe contributing to increasing prevalence of refractive errors among school children. Similar to other authors, they also recommended screening of school children for refractive errors.² Some studies have given very high prevalence of refractive errors in school children. Gupta M et al study from Shimla has found a prevalence of 22% refractive errors among 6 to 16 years age group.¹⁰ A recent systematic review done in 2018 by Sheeladevi S et al has reviewed studies from 1990 to January of 2017 and mentioned the prevalence of refractive errors as 10.8% among school children at a higher side as compared to our study results. Myopia was found to be commonest refractive error reported. They highlighted that refractive errors in school children has become a major health issue in India and needs urgent attention from policy makers and all stakeholders so as to address this avoidable cause of visual impairment at an optimal stage. ¹¹ The study limitation is cross sectional collection of data which can only reflect the magnitude of problem among students from government schools at the point of collection of data with no prospective or

retrospective insight and there is no collection of data regarding associated risk factors or association with academic performance and quality of life to convincingly comment on the impact of this visual disorder and the associated risk factors.

CONCLUSIONS

From study results it can be concluded that there was high number of students with undetected refractive errors among school children. There is a need for screening school students for refractive errors as it can impact their quality of life especially academic performance. Moreover it is an easily correctable disorder. Its early diagnosis and management can help in prevention of more serious visual problems in these promising children.

REFERENCES

- Padhye AS, Khandekar R, Dharmadhikari S, Dole K, Gogate P, Deshpande M. Prevalence of uncorrected refractive error and other eye problems among urban and rural school children. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2009; 16(2):69-74.
- Nitesh Pradhan, Abhishek Sachdeva, Tushar Goel, Bhumika Bhola, Dolly Jha. Prevalence of refractive errors among school children of 6-12-years of age group and reason for not using spectacles even after correction. Int J Res Med Sci. 2018 Mar; 6(3):798-801.
- 3. Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Mariotti SP, Pokharel GP. Global magnitude of visual impairment caused by

uncorrected refractive errors in 2004. Bull World Health Organ. 2008; 86: 63–70.

- Sheeladevi S, Seelam B, Nukella PB, Modi A, Ali R, Keay L. Prevalence of refractive errors in children in India: a systematic review. Clin Exp Optom. 2018 Jul; 101(4):495-503.
- R Jose and Sandeep Sachdeva. School Eye Screening and the National Program for Control of Blindness. Indian Pediatrics. 2009; 46: 205-8.
- Murthy GVS, Gupta SK, Bachani D, editors. The Principles and Practices of Community Ophthalmology. New Delhi: Community Ophthalmology Section, RP Centre, AIIMS; 2002.
- World Health Organization. Elimination of avoidable visual disability due to refractive error Report of an informal planning meeting. pp. 6–10. WHO/PBL/00.79.
- Mehzabeen Rahman, Bhanu Devi, J.J.Kuli, Gourangie Gogoi. A Study On The Refractive Status Of School Going Children Aged Between 10 To 15 Years In Dibrugarh Town, Assam, India. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS). 2015; 14(2):27-33
- Gupta R. A Clinical survey of the prevalence of Refractive Errors in School Going Children (5-15 years) around the suburban areas of Pune. AIOC Proceedings. 2005:417–19.
- Gupta M, Gupta BP, Chauhan A, Bhardwaj A. Ocular morbidity prevalence among school children in Shimla, Himachal, North India. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2009; 57(2):133-8.
- Sheeladevi S, Seelam B, Nukella PB, Modi A, Ali R, Keay L. Prevalence of refractive errors in children in India: a systematic review. Clin Exp Optom. 2018 Jul; 101(4):495-503.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared